COPY

Page 1

	. 1		ERA MSTITUTE
	2	VERA INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE	LIBRARY
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6	POLICE IN DEMOCRATIC SOCIETIES: ACCOUNTABILITY AND PUBLIC SAFETY HORKING CROUP MEETING	
	7	WORKING GROUP MEETING	
LASER STOCK FORM B	8 9	September 15, 19 10:00 a.m.	97
	10	PRESENT:	
	11		
THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040	12	CHRIS STONE	
	13	HUGO FRUHLING NEVILLE MELVILLE	
	14	TOM PEREZ SANKAR SEN	
	15	ISTVAN SZIKINGER ELIZABETH WATSON	
	16	HEATHER WARD	
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	.22		
	23	NATIONWIDE COURT REPORTING, 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE	
	24 .	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10111 (212) 247-5634	
	/ h	į.	

353.3 VI	

.13

MR. STONE: What I'd like to do is to get into the broad framework to begin with, how to put the different topics, rather than trying to figure out where the right place for emphasis is. I hope we can work together to construct a framework now to this or someone familiar in one region or perspective can enter a broader conversation and know how to make sense of the dates, questions, circumstances being put forward.

There is an early version of such a framework in the original proposal that we sent out, and I put a version of it up on this chart here, and I'd just like to try, the version was just Heather's and my effort to try and begin to construct such a framework.

I don't think it necessarily works very well, and already some other ideas have been suggested to us.

I thought I would start us off with that and then see where we might be able to take it.

I think the idea, at least within this idea of this framework, concerns public

1.8

safety and crime control, accountability for that, and accountability for policemen's conduct turns out, I think, to be split, to be separated, at least in many instances, so on these two issues I want to distinguish between three different kinds of accountability.

Much of the debate in this country is by people organizing where accountability ought to lie, people saying it is really about departmental management, not about communities, it is really about the role of prosecution or inspection from a different body.

I don't want to -- it strikes me in all the conversations we've had in California, that in some detail, that in fact you need accountability mechanisms at all these levels, while some may have different kind of roles than others for some sorts of things may be more effective than others.

A more interesting question is whether there is something operating effectively at each of these levels, rather than debating which one is more important.

Equally interesting to me is that in

2.2

.24

many cases the structures interested in accountability, public safety, are not the ones trying to hold departments accountable for its treatment of civilians. That itself, if it is true, may be a problem, that is, for a police chief who is presumably accountable for both those things to have different structures to which they're accountable for misconduct of their officers versus for the control of crime, may itself be a problem that reproducibly and structurally creates.

So when we began this work, when we began trying to formulate this last spring, we tried to think of this as a six-cell matrix, and looking at the department structures that hold departments accountable for public safety and for treatment of civilians.

Let me just talk very specifically about New York City now, just to illustrate how this works.

The departmental management structure on public safety and crime control used to be simply about arrest numbers and personnel records and promotional structure and

2.2

reward structure that really focused on arrest and summons. There were other things scored by management to reward police officers, but the principal issue when you were trying to change police or exercise leadership was could you get, if the strategy wasn't arrest strategy, how were you going to deal with the fact that officers were mostly awarded for number of arrests.

The question was could you introduce something else. If it was arrests, it wasn't convictions, it was arrests, it wasn't problem solving, the avoiding of crime, it was about arrest.

Some of the early issues around community policing were about how you might change the performance measurement system for police officers around what they were doing in terms of problem solving, education, different kinds of contacts with the community beyond just an arrest measurement.

In New York in the last four years this has changed to a very structured accountability mechanism about crime. The police departments spends a lot of time denying

that they hold police precincts and commanders, middle managers, responsible for crime. That is if your crime is going up you'll lose your job, but there is now a change, although arrests are still important and summonses are still or not, there is a difference between police accountability mechanisms today and 10 years ago in New York in terms of the introduction of accountability for crime.

What is interesting, an interesting a story about how that came about, but leave that for now.

On the police misconduct piece, inside the department it is not clear what the accountability structure is. The police officer worries if they have a lot of complaints against them, not founded complaints, just if they had a lot of complaints against them reporting to the Civilian Complaint Review Board, then they'll be in trouble somehow, it will hurt their chances for success or a big promotion, but it takes a big number to have much of an impact, and then there are debates about whether the department itself, how it should be holding people

. 9

1.2

accountable for things other than civilian-initiated complaints in terms of the treatment of individuals.

There is some effort now for the first time, I think, in the last 18 months, to actually have the department -- the department has instituted a fairly highly publicized process in which police commanders are brought before a new array of senior -- the New York City Police Department has a large senior management structure, about 60 of them will be in this room that you'll be in tomorrow and grill -- essentially a few of them ask questions of precinct commanders as they rotate through, asking them about crime, arrest patterns, individuals cases.

Also started in the last 18 months asking about patterns in the civilian complaints coming into that precinct and ask them to explain that as well.

There are lots of problems with that. The individual police officers have a large array of explanations why complaints shouldn't matter, complaints are made in an

2.5

.15

organized way by drug dealers as a way of trying to get them to back off, and the complaints are often just wrong and unsubstantiated, but it takes a longtime to investigate them.

By the time they investigate them, the patterns change. They don't become an effective oversight tool.

There is a lot on this side and very little on this side.

split. There are in New York community precinct councils which consist of invited members of the public, invited by the police department, but they hold monthly meetings that are open to any members of the community to come and discuss any issues that they want about the precinct. They tend, like a lot of neighbor associations and block associations, to focus on crime problems that they want the police to address.

They occasionally, when there is a particular incident or if there is a particularly strong individual raising a complaint, when they will occasionally move over and discuss the treatment of people in the

neighborhood by the police, but they pretty much focus on the crime problems, whether it is prosecution or noisy driving or series of burglaries or robberies or whatever it is that the people who happen to be assembled wanted the police to be focused on.

whereas on the police misconduct side, civilian complaint review boards have been pretty distant from real community input.

There also the members of the civilian complaint review board, although it is called civilian complaint, are largely selected by the police department, Police Commissioner or the Mayor or the body that appoints the Police Commissioner.

New York went through a huge political fight about how to select members of the civilian complaint review board which would occupy this box, but it really amounts to nominal changes, really minor changes in whether the Mayor or the City Council, with the advice of the Police Commissioner or with the Police Commissioner with the approval of the Mayor ends up appointing the members.

.16

Years ago, 20 years ago, we had senior sitting police members on the civilian complaint police review board, but the review board doesn't concern itself with crime or police effectiveness, and the community precinct councils have very, very little concern and no data about police misconduct.

In terms of external government oversight, it is really almost all on the misconduct side and pretty distant. We don't have an Ombudsman or Inspector General function in New York. We do have a whole series of external government oversight structures though, and it is worth up here really to distinguish between oversight around corruption and oversight around the inappropriate use of force.

There is a debate in policy about the relationship of these things, whether police officers use force also tend to be corrupt and whether police officers who are corrupt will exercise greater application of force, but the oversight mechanisms for this are very, very different.

1.7

1.8

.21

Corruption lends itself to prosecution, investigation, more easily than the use of force does, so we have a lot of different prosecutorial structures. Federal prosecution is very rarely used in New York City. Some cities there is a lot of it, but the federal has been very absent as a prosecuting force in New York City.

The borough prosecutors, of which there are five in New York, five different boroughs, each independently elected, are very different, each of their own structures for prosecuting police, the most formal of which is here in Manhattan, in New York County, and that focuses almost entirely on corruption.

They've got to be very aggressive, that is, there is something down here called "IAD," the Internal Affairs Division of the police department, and they also are essentially really working pretty much on this corruption side, but there are about 500 police officers assigned to the Internal Affairs in New York City. A force of 500 just investigating other police officers in the New York City Police

Department, but they focus almost exclusively on issues of corruption.

They have now a regular pattern of sting operations. They'll set up fake crime scenes, leave money around, put cameras in them, deploy police officers to them. When they make an arrest or they have a suspect in a low-level case, a lot of use trying to turn them, getting them to where tape-recording devices and work on this.

They track, they monitor reports to the civilian complaint review board and they will target officers for investigation or surveillance who have a lot of complaints here. There is beginning to be some integration across these structures over here, but they won't do sting operations or turn informants in enforcing cases and neither will the prosecution.

If they find a corrupt officer, they ask him to cooperate or wear a wire. If they find an officer who uses excessive force, they will not as a matter of policy try to turn them into an informer or get them to wear a wire on issues of force, which is very interesting to a

structural problem and the external government oversight on crime and safety is really simply the elected officials, and the dynamic in New York is moving from a world in which the police department was seen at the height, from the 1940s, 1930s, until five years ago or maybe until 1990 when Lee Brown came from Houston to New York. The political independence of the police was the hallmark of a movement of professionals in the police department.

Someone said in one of our earlier sessions a few weeks ago when we were talking about this that one of the challenges of democratic control of the police, how strong are the democratic structures, not just a question of how strong the democratic institutions are which is going to hold you accountable because in the 1920s and '30s in New York City, the democratic institutions were largely seen as individual political factions, a lot of political neighborhood parties and ethnic party bosses.

Part of the challenge of police management was to become independent of those

political structures, to have a greater professionalism so you weren't going to become overly identified with one or another political boss, and that got to the point when I grew up in New York, when I came back here to New York in 1988, and you would ask how the different Police Commissioners reported to the Mayor, always said well, nominally the Police Commissioner reports to the Mayor, but the notion that the Mayor would interfere with the operations of the police department was considered a violation of the professionalism of the police department. The Mayor did not decide where police officers would be deployed other than with parades or major events.

MR. FRUHLING: What is the Police Commissioner?

MR. STONE: There is something called Chief of Control or Chief of Patrol of, which is the highest uniform member of the force, but over the chief of the department is something we call the Police Commissioner, and I think you would say that's your opposite number in New York, they're technically a civilian, but

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

always someone who worked themselves up through union ranks in this or in another police force, and they are the most visible head, senior executive of the police force.

MR. FRUHLING: Appointed by the Mayor?

MR. STONE: Appointed by the Mayor.

While it is generally true when you get a new Mayor you get a new Police

Commissioner, it isn't taken for granted that's always going to be true, and because of this special professional independence, and in a sense, that the Police Commissioner had, that has reversed itself in the last few years.

MR. SEN: Does he have to be a -
MR. STONE: No, one of the ways

Betsy became police chief in Houston, her police

chief, her boss, became Commissioner in 1990,

Lee Brown.

Although I have not talked to him about this in the last couple of years, he might see what happened in New York for the last four years as the undoing of a lot of the things he tried to do with community police, but, in fact,

.18

the reversal of the professional independence, the willingness of the Police Commissioner to become more politically accountable for crime, was really his doing.

He in many ways laid the groundwork for what happened now, is really a heavier politicization of policing on the crime front.

Lee Brown came to New York and said we are going to hold ourselves accountable as a police force for crime. We're willing to be held accountable for major crime, we are going to bring major crime down, we're not going to make a lot of arrests, we are going -- you can hold us accountable for crime reduction, and it has lots of other factors, get to scenes quickly, investigate cases, but don't hold us accountable for crime.

Well, if you learned that as a politician then the police becomes less politically helpful to you. If you believe the police they can't be held accountable, then, as soon as the police welcome being held accountable for crime and say no, no, you can hold us accountable for crime, you become much

more politically valuable to a politician or if the politician says you said you can bring crime down for us, you become much more integral to that politician.

The return to political accountability from the professional model -the police wouldn't describe it that way. There is a tension in community police that brings you back into direct accountabilitiy for crime, so we now have a situation in New York where we have a Mayor, a public official, who is very involved in the details of operations, the strategy and deployment, tactician, for a lot of personal reasons as well, but when in the last three years, talking about senior officials in the New York police, the sense of the department is it has lost the independence it used to have from City Hall and the Mayor for accountability.

What I was hoping by doing this, the question is can one use this framework or some version of framework like this to think about these questions in any democratic society. The things in the boxes must be different, is this a

1.9

LASER STOCK FORM B

useful framework to those up against any democratic societies of structure of policing, say where is the strength, what place these different roles have in our society and which ones are strong, which ones are weak.

At one level they're a descriptive, is this a descriptive structure that works, and in the second, lots of descriptive structures can work.

It isn't whether this is a particularly valuable descriptive structure, is the question whether it is useful to look at the links. What my hope is one can look into the questions of integration, how much of these structures are communicating this way and how much is there communication along these lines this way, and looking at strength and weakness in a particular society, not just on how well things are happening in these boxes.

Also looking at the integration of these different structures for a system of accountability, and I hope that many of the things that you all talked about this morning actually fit rather well in one place or another

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

1.9

20

21

22 23

24

25

in these things.

That said, there are a lot of things that don't fit well in this structure. Some of the things people have pointed out, this doesn't integrate in the questions of the role of the form of politics at all these different levels. The formal political structures have impact not just up here but all over this map.

What role does the media play in accountability in a democratic society, is that a separate institution we should be thinking about in terms of accountability of police, the role of news organizations, print and broadcast, and how do we think of funding and the control of funding, government funding at these different levels. You're going to have institutions here, but where is there money flowing and where is there not money.

Then there's another set of issues that this doesn't capture in the same way and that has to do with police tactics themselves, that is decisions, police strategies, as opposed to oversight in one of these things having implications on the other side.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

120

21

2.2

23

24

25

You can adopt problem solving strategies in neighborhoods, preventive strategies, and you can adopt other sorts of strategies about whether it is zero tolerance or low-level crimes or other things or just the huge use of roadblocks now in New York that wasn't true years ago that may have implications on what kind of corruption and use of force you get.

There's no real form in this structure for discussion of police tactics, and there may not be an adequate way of discussing That's where we're these kind of issues. starting now as we start to put it together, open for discussion and suggestions and alternative suggestions.

What I'm not sure is what the value is on having these segregations.

For example, when you talk about tactics, I see it fitting quite neatly, if you have departmental management here that's responsible for strategy development, and if they, likewise, if they have the responsibility not only for crime prevention, but also for

respect for individual rights, okay, then any strategy that was developed, a zero tolerance strategy that ignored human rights would simply not work, and it seems to me strategy does.

As I look at it, and maybe somebody can help me sort this through, you have the community in a democracy that is absolutely accountable for elected representation, which is absolutely accountable for policy directions established for the department, and so that goes on, and so it seems to me this distinction, I'm not looking at the external government, not so much in process, but whose job it is to establish the policies and so forth for all of the government.

We were also thinking there are the kind of commissions, there's independent complaint directors, human rights commissions, in New York there are temporary and permanent commissions with oversight responsibility.

For example, after the last police corruption scandal in New York a few years ago, the Mayor and the City Council and the Legislature fought each other about what kind of

.19

oversight commission should be established.

Both agreed there should be one, but the Mayor wanted one that the Mayor appointed and the City Council said the Mayor appoints the police, the oversight commission should be responsible to us, and the Mayor just set his up and let the City Council sue them in court, and the City Council lost. But that kind of oversight commission, looking at police, they're really looking at corruption.

I was really thinking about that as the federal role rather than just the elected official. It is really there aren't these kind of commissions on crime, it is really just down to the political process on crime, so that first box on public crime control, there isn't much in it --

MR. PEREZ: The riots in Los Angeles bringing about the Christopher Commission which would be in that box on the top level there.

MR. STONE: Top right. I don't know how much they did on crime control.

In this structure, that would suggest if they were looking at both, some

_

2 degree of integration.

.25

MR. MELVILLE: I think it would be ambitious to kind of come up with a descriptive structure that seeks to explain all the different factors which influence generally under oversight, and I think it is just like statistics, with the same set of statistics, you

can deal separately with different graphs and presentations to try and see the different

impacts in different areas.

I think, in fact, what you may have, I find this very useful, it seems to supplement something that I prepared for the presentation in Ottawa, and I had some difficulty in accounting for just this sort of thing. This really explains something I was trying to get over. I think we may be able to have a series of different presentations which deal with the different issues to see how they all interact and perhaps read from one model to the other and try to understand that particular aspect of it, to perhaps just briefly try and explain the model that I use as an aid in the paper that I presented, which I think accounts for the

1.0

1.7 1.8

difficulty you have with the tightness of your definitions.

MR. STONE: Sure.

MR. MELVILLE: What I came up with to try and understand myself as a South African when I was tasked with speaking about accountability, it was a concept that we didn't really have much knowledge and experience with, I had to grapple with it. I think it was quite useful. You broke down aspects of accountability and perhaps we could find on your model additional headings under which accountability are an issue.

I was thinking primarily about the different parties involved or spheres of influence. I have the state at the top. For the present you can read municipal government, whatever, province, whatever the case may be, and, of course, the ever present citizens who often appear only on models and thoughts, and the police down there, and then what I sought to do was look at the duties of the citizens to participate in this respect in the government and elect officials to go over an aspect of

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

their rights and powers and delegate those to the State, and, of course, pay taxes, and the State in turn sets up a police force, gives them certain powers and then has control over them, and then working back this way, of course, we have what Betsy was talking about there, the

citizens expect the State to account to them.

In some respects there is a relationship and duty upon the State to be open and fair and so forth and to provide services to the citizens in exchange for the payments they receive and so forth, and also to be accountable for all the organs of the State, and from the police point of view, obviously they have had different levels of obligation. They have sort of broad services application controlling crime, the sort of things I looked at, means of order, enforcement of law, I think we put a lot of things into that basket that would go broadly to the population as a whole but through the states, more specifically to the citizens. have another set of obligations, and primarily in the form of providing a service to the citizens, but more specifically they are obliged

5

6

7

8

9

.10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

to protect the rights of the citizens, treat the citizens fairly, and various other aspects which are more directly on the line of the citizen.

The source of misconduct category you were looking at, and, of course, as far as the State and the citizens are concerned, they have an obligation to obey the law themselves, and an aspect of that is to respect, insofar as it amounts to breaking the law, the rights of the citizens, and the proposition that I came up with was that we then have different spheres of influence according to different factors, types of services, the different obligations upon the police, and so accordingly, rather than all being in the hands of the State or accountability being entirely left up to the police and so forth, you're likely to have, if one compares the three interested groups, each apparently would have its own gravitational pull.

In, for instance, departmental issues, breaches of the police code, for instance, you would expect to find certainly a strong police involvement. There will be a bit

1.6

2.0

of a pull from the State and there may be interstation funding aspects, but more indirectly and so forth, and it also relates to the mismanagement model, but then with the interest of citizens, complaints from the outside, you may find that you either have one system which deals exclusively with those complaints and disciplinary matters within the police system running in conjunction with a body where the influence is more in line of the citizens sphere of influence, and so forth.

and you would have, for instance, one of these individuals which receives complaints and has some disciplinary powers over the police in respect of determining what action to take and so forth, or you may have a body which actually supervises all police misconduct from the point of view of a departmental or some minor service level, but once you start talking about the aspect of a breach of a criminal body, then, of course, the State has a far more protective interest, so the position of the body is likely to be much closer to the State police axis, but

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

.21

2.2

23

24

25

obviously there may be a scope for excluding citizens in the process.

You might have, as we have in South Africa, people from the outside who are appointed as civil servants to in some way have some influence of the whole system being from the point of investigating themselves or overseeing the investigations and reviewing and so forth, and you would probably have the body placed in that region on the diagram there, and I think bearing that in mind, you could eventually place anybody within that framework and rather than it being a distinct division of lines between the police, citizens and the state, there's going to be a running together of the interest and the degree of participation and the influence they exert on the system, so the body will be as your diagram, I think to a better extent explains, some interest of certain of the bodies are going to have greater influence and concern than others.

MR. SZIKINGER: The framework you outlined is a working framework, but as any framework or scheme, it is a simplification, any

model, but we have to operate with models. I think in general it is appropriate and it points out very important semblance of accountability and control issues, but, of course, I agree with you, if I understood that these cells are not fully separated, there is an overlap on them, say even by saying that there is an oversight of the police activities, police also oversee politicians, police also oversee the public, so I think we have to approach this scheme as a flexible one, and stating it cannot accommodate all the problems, of course, which can be raised, concern the issue, so taking that into consideration I think it is good as a working scheme.

However, I'd like to make some remarks not questioning and not challenging this scheme, but just to point out some issues which were actually stated by you, I think your approach is a bit closer to mine as a representative of a continental system, which is quite different, but nevertheless the categories you used we can apply, although, Hungarian or German or other police forces, these are

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

1.8

responsible not only for crime control and public safety, but for pure administrative tasks, police issue personalized identity cards, issue resident permits for aliens.

I think that's specific of all continental police forces more or less, but it doesn't make this scheme unworkable.

Another, I think, very important point I'd like to make is a more general one. Again I'm not questioning what you said, but perhaps turning attention to another aspect of the problem, and that is my conviction that it is not bad police we have to fear, but good police.

In police terms, of course, you mentioned that misrepresenting public power for citizens, that's right, and police basically perceive their control as crime prevention, public safety and so on, being that, and by correctly doing that, I think police can get into with basic values of democratic society, but police are responsible for safety, and safety means stable circumstances. The security means that things are unquestionable, security

1.4

means that nothing moves.

In a democratic society, if you want to have some development, development means challenging the rules, challenging the status quo, and that is quite natural, so therefore I think you have to control police and our government directs police policies. That's another important issue.

I have to point out, that means you have to be aware of the social impact of policing. Even the best policing is very specific. We have minority groups, as all societies have, and we have problems with police and minorities, as almost all police forces face those problems, and my conviction is if police do their work well, then in this case they can cause harm to democratic values of society.

We have, and all police forces have, some powers like random checks, either factually or legally, but our police have according to law, and on the one hand there are minority groups and there is a majority, and those upon which police acts are tailored to the values and the perceptions of the majority, and therefore

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

it is quite natural and professional if police by making random checks control mostly minority people because there is a higher probability of those people having something committed because of the fact that those are exacting the valves of the majority and they are minority, and so by that simple fact it is a higher problem, I agree with that, it is a higher probability, and police check minority people, and as police have restricted manpower, police have restricted sources, they fought with some minority communities, and it turns out that minorities are over-represented in crime and administrative offences, but not only because they are a minority, and this fact is overemphasized by the fact that police concentrate their forces and their efforts on that minority, and in these groups you will have disproportionate rate of violations of law committed by the minority.

The good police have to focus more and more on that minority group because there is some evidence that there is a higher crime rate and so on.

If you have the possibility to show

25

it in statistics, we don't have, because the minority is a sensitive thing, you can't record it, but there is evidence and there is police evidence to that.

Another example, and I conclude with it, police need more powers to control crime, no doubt, but sometimes the powers given to police are actually having an impact in the opposite direction.

I was with the Minister of

Interior,. My Minister went out and thought

public safety was a detention, administrative

institution, given the possibility for police to

decide whether to start an investigation,

whatever, but this is almost informal. People

can take people up to 12 hours and keep them,

and it was eight hours before '94, and that's

when the police act.

I sent out people. I work for that Minister who were former police officers, to investigate whether the police really need that additional time. They came back and said the eight hours were quite enough to decide on that issue.

1.0

.12

In several cases now, police have more time to decide on that, more time to deal with it, but what actually happens, police put those people into the cells, into the detention, and with all the other things they have to do, they know that they have the time, and if you take the efficiency problems, in many cases, we made research into that problem, and in many cases it became clear that having less time for police detention would be actually better for human rights and police efficiency, because if you are compelled to deal with the people as they come into the police station, then perhaps you will have some chance to pursue, to make a lot of pursuit of crime.

Time is a very important factor in policing. The sooner you do something, the better the chances of investigation and prosecution, so in this sense I think that the good police, which are focusing on security, and security is if I have the decision to keep somebody in or let out, I will certainly keep in because it is my responsibility if I let him out he might commit a crime, so that is quite

1.5

2 natural, and I think this is quite natural.
3 Police approach is the major problem.

So, crooks you can find, you can investigate, that is also a problem, but basically, according to my conviction, police have to be accountable to the community not only to because they fulfill a very important task, but because they are a very spectacular part of public power for masses of people, and this might be more important than just to investigate into certain cases of police misconduct.

In this sense, and that will be the very last remark, in this sense it is very dangerous to give police such powers as they are given all over the world to show very clearly behavior which is not acceptable in the whole community.

If we have police informants and undercover agents within the criminal community, police officers actually committing crime, and informants working for police and making deals with criminals, if you give me information so this is a lower level plea bargain as introduced in Hungary, I'm not sure, because there are not

Я

too many investigations into that, whether it has in promoting efficiency, but it certainly distorts values, social values, and this conveys a message to people that this is not about morals, this is not about legality, this is a fight, and police are on one side and all the others are on the other, and those criticizing people actually have been the dark forces in their disruptive activities.

Thank you.

MR. SEN: As usual, models cannot be all inclusive and can cover every situation.

How far the attention of government, how far it is practical because many of the developing countries' problems, the police are already too much under the control of government.

Time has come to insulate police as much as possible from government control.

For example, in India in all the communities police must be under the control of the government. Government is held responsible for mishaps, so we have to insure how far police can function effectively without much oversight, government oversight.

1.0

Government is responsible for policy of the police, but day-to-day matters should not be that interference by that government policy. One of the things from the investigation, investigation being of the police, should be totaling insulated from pressure. Nobody can dictate to the police what to do.

In many developing countries that's the problem. Community oversight, which is really relevant, and how we can involve the citizens, to improve the quality of policing, which is done in a big way in the United Kingdom started here.

There are, of course, problems in a fractured community, in a developing society, there are problems, but second, citizens representation should be there to see that police function within the bounds and so forth, but more important, what kind of oversight, court oversight, judicial control over the police, yes, and that is today the biggest check upon the police in democratic societies.

These are the courts rules, police can't do like this, people can petition before

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

.

the court.

The Supreme Court which has said the Lincoln Constitution, Right to Life, Article 21, it means life with dignity, and that means anytime for custodian violence, restrictions of citizens rights by the police is a violation of fundamental rights.

What kind of oversight, and now some of the Human Rights Commissions, we have State Human Rights Commissions, some other states gone to Ombudsman. These are independent bodies set up by an Act of department or outside the control.

Today the Human Rights Commission commands a lot of respect in India. Here is an authority which the police asks action against officer and go with the recommendation. All of our recommendations are accepted.

Government control, oversight by the judicial oversight, oversight by independent, by the commissions or independent agency set up which commands a lot of respect, and then the departmental management, yes, that's a very crucial thing, and this reaches the point that

-8

LASER STOCK FORM B

not much police accountability and police will never come until there is strong in-house control, otherwise external control will not be able to produce results, because if there is internal, and that's very much like Mr. Stone had given in the plan, yes, what kind of response from the police is also called for to insure proper accountability, that is an important and crucial factor.

The other point, the police misconduct, corruption, corruption, yes, but corruption in New York, you have a lot of members of the officers, we need the police to check up police malpractice, police corruption, but there should be much stronger than what we have.

In criminal, we have the Criminal Board of Investigation in India. CBI conducts the investigation and the Prime Minister hears the charges against you, and that commands a lot of respect, some kind of investigative agency, but more important point, corruption, yes, but the police misconduct part of it, that is where we have to think about people are detained in

custody, people are not properly treated when it comes to register cases before the police. Many are not properly dealt with in police custody. This volume of police misconduct we creates sense and sympathy for police and feeling that the police is a strong arm of the government, terrorizes the people, not befriends them.

That was the word in 1991 of the Commission. Many police view the police as a big demon. Police wants confidence of the people and that can't come within the mechanisms within the organization or department to check misconduct. There should be a judge and the policeman, the chief of police or his nominee so the police point of view is projected, so we know it will not only be fair, but appear to be fair.

The other point I would like to make, some corrective agency, not only detective agency, to insure police misconduct is taking place, we need some kind of mechanism to see that human rights in this job, more peer pressure is developed. These are what one has to think of, and the last and not the least

.19

point you mentioned, crime, police chief getting fired because of increase in crime. That is an issue on which there could be endless debate, it is the purpose of the corrective strategies, do you hold the police chief accountable if there is a crime, it opens Pandora's box.

Today in many of the states
statistically crime is down. The Commission
position here it has not gone up in the last
three years, but truth may be otherwise. What
you call in police parlance, there can be a lot
of blocking of crime and police effectiveness.

I repeat it, the police effectively need depend not upon spectacular suggestions, but in following procedures, adhering to the rights of the citizens, or otherwise very soon the time will come when criminal gets enforced law better than the police. They may not have to obey the rules and regulations. Police effectiveness is not only dealing with crime and law and order, but by sticking to the rules, sticking to the decisions, and that is the challenge of policing in a democratic society. That's what we have to think of, how could make

.14

police effective, respectful of the rights of the citizens.

MR. FRUHLING: I think this is a very excellent chart, and my contribution try to make it a little closer to our type of discourse and language so it can be understood by people from Latin America with a different legal system.

police forces, their responsibilities go far beyond crime and public safety. It should be understood that police misconduct could take a different context, trying to control the crime and public safety, the police is the main enforcement body of the law in these countries, which is probably taken over here by other agencies.

Examples: In some cases control of public parks, border control and so forth, Chile and Argentina, the security of the State plus private crime and many other things, and legislation that has some purity aspect to it, but is not criminal in itself like the legislation of minors, pensions not paid and so

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

on, serving summonses as agents of the court and so on and so forth.

Secondly, I would say that for somebody coming from a continental legal tradition, it would seem that this puts too much stress into the type of bodies that do control rather than the type of responsibilities that are controlled.

We don't have the accountability concept in Spanish to begin with. It is easier to speak in English when you speak, and you have to speak of control or other type words that we don't have.

I think it would be very useful if you distinguish, somehow, I'm very bad about doing charts, the type of level responsibility or obligation that these violated. It is a demonstrative, it would go to the interdepartmental in Latin America.

If it is a criminal violation, it is that should be in the chart not because of any conceptual problem, but for a lawyer it would be much easier to be understand.

Once you are briefing a particular

.

.25

law, you know which type of party is going to do the oversight rather than going from the oversight to the public safety or police misconduct, so there are many types of misconduct.

There is another point which I think is interesting, which is that could mean, different things are handled together. It could mean a human rights violation, some kind of abuse against third parties, a civilian or it could just mean a breach of an internal administrative rule which means you didn't salute your superior or you drink heavily before going to duty, and they are dealt with by the same parties and part of the same body of law.

Another observation is I wouldn't use the word or the "concept" because the government, which is very much an American way of dealing with this, we would use the State, the State is a crucial part, it is a crucial part of the State government, then I would say the following. The concept of community oversight, I understand what you are dealing with in your chart is legal structures that

control the way the police are acting. We don't have that in Latin America. There might be a few cases here and there, but they're pilot cases, and it would be wrong to say there is no social control or oversight, so if you leave those two boxes in blank when you're speaking about Chile, you might derive from that that there's no social control over the police. That would be wrong.

Other types of control, media, social groups, we do have much more social participation in the criminal process, that is to say, you can present to a criminal court for a suit, it doesn't have to go to the prosecutor. I would leave it a little wider in the concept.

We do have some sort of organizations, some of them are strong, some of them are very week, that deal with community problems, and they sometimes meet with the police and the police are supposed to meet with them every month to see what their concerns are, but these organizations do not only exist to oversee the police. It is just part of what

they decide to do. They don't have that as an obligation or as a power, so I would leave it a little wider than the concept to be acceptable, and then the final thing, the concept of management, I understand the concept, but I'm not sure whether that concept is strictly applicable to a force as hierarchial and military in character, that is to say, we're talking about the superiority of the citizens, they do play the role of management, but it is more than just administrative force, they're a power in themselves, but these are strictly formal.

We have to figure out a way of dealing with the issue of external government oversight over publicity and control because there are things like you are relating here, the reaction against the illegal use of the police led to the development of police independence, police independence may have a different impact in a different political structure.

We can use these charts so it can account for these very different qualitative concepts.

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

MS. WATSON: I have a question about your state of different kinds of structures in the police department you have there.

When I'm talking about managerial hierarchy, how are had he held accountable now, just a social kind of thing, kind of informally?

MR. FRUHLING: No, I didn't say there was no hierarchy. I said these were military type.

MR. STONE: What's the difference that you see?

MR. FRUHLING: I don't think it is just the wording. We have generals and colonels. You don't speak about management or superiors who go to different schools.

Let me give you an example.

You go to different schools, we have four different schools. You have a different school if you want to become an officer, you have a completely different school if you want to become a subordinate. Therefore, if you are subordinate and went to school, you would not become --

government.

1 MR. SEN: Don't go up through the 2 ranks? 3 MR. FRUHLING: Lieutenant to general, study for three years. This is a 5 military structure, so if you're speaking about management like the guy who was police officer 7 and controlled the streets, then he became the 8 9 manager --MR. MELVILLE: What does management 1.0 mean to anyone? 11 There is a little more MR. STONE: 12 with what manager and military means. 13 In your 14 experience, of course, you've done work with both, in Mexico and --15 MR. PEREZ: I have done work only in 16 the United States, but I have prosecuted cases 17 involving victims who were Mexican nationals. 18 As a result, I have gone to Mexico 19 on a number of cases to interview witnesses. 20 haven't prosecuted any in the formal sense. 21 MR. FRUHLING: I just recalled 22 something in these police forces, you have don't 23 have a labor contract. You're appointed by the 24

That means a lot of powers and a

.11

lot of obligations. You do not negotiate contracts, you do not negotiate with the management, you can go on strike.

MS. WARD: There are no unions?

MR. SEN: Normally most of the countries, one of the condition of police --

MR. STONE: Do they in fact, even if you are not normally allowed to negotiate, here the police aren't allowed to go on strike either. They are organized though -- they have organized, though denied, an effort at slow down, calling in sick, refusing -- there is essentially negotiation going on,.

MR. FRUHLING: Yes, some of it, obviously, yes, and certainly police forces press the government to get more money for study. All that goes through the political process.

You will see the number of policemen, they're duties are fixed by law and those laws are past by Congress, not just negotiation whenever something of that sort happens, it creates a big political crisis like what happened in Brazil a month ago. In some

2 ot

-

.17

other circumstances that could be close to a full attack. It depends on the country.

MR. STONE: One of the things that many people have mentioned to us is this distinction between national police forces or municipal. An important issue and distinction to make, there seems to be a number of --

MR. MELVILLE: There is a move right now to try to inform police -- this there is decision by the Johannesburg municipal police force, and it is not clear to me whether what we're talking about is creating a new form. If they were local and corrupt, go for national. Not anything inherently better about the form just by reorganizing. There is something inherently different between issues of control and political prices.

MR. FRUHLING: I would say that there are two things.

First of all, in some cases there is an effort towards unionization of police forces because the constant overlap of powers and the confrontation of each other, that happened in Peru and starting to happen in Guatemala which

is a small country.

The second thing the fact that municipal police forces in developing countries, that creates a political consequence that is important.

Yes, that is it means that local political power is becoming more important, the fact that you have more political power means more control over the central power. It happened in Spain after the demonstration in the late seventies, and it is probably going to happen in many --

MR. SEN: To my mind, is the media in a democratic society, police are also careful and become honorable to a country. There is a problem. Very often there is a tendency in the media, all the way in the world -- we in India are expected to doubt the police, bashing always takes place as a result and you become immuned to it.

In the public, we get people to come in -- the police themselves should be receptive because a media that goes also to highlight the failure of someone, and you are in the eyes of

public beyond a certain point has a bad effect.

There is recorded misuse of the police force. A

good study of the police in Japan.

With regard to the police in Japan, let them pursue them, of course. Regard for the police in the states and India, led them to work more irresponsibly, more disregard of human rights because the public disregard it.

MR. PEREZ: You have in your left box there things that don't fit -- actually it does fit, which was to change community oversight to either oversight or social oversight because I think that would also encompass media oversight.

The media is often a source of leads for us. We probably get 15 percent of the allegations of misconduct that we investigate we learn initially through reading the local newspaper, and that in turn is a form of useful oversight.

MR. STONE: I'm going to try to pull together a couple of these comments.

What I've just done is, essentially all of this is about broadening. I want to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

take, nevertheless, try Neville's triangle and stick it on to my boxes and capture somebody's comments and see if this works, and what we've essentially got is, I can't get out of the accountability part, I'll try, thinking about accountable to and accountable for and what the matrix ends up being and what you've essentially got, what I had is crime. I'm just going to put put affirmative obligations, and that could be crime control, public order and then you've essentially got whatever we are going to call misconduct, which is essentially lapse of breaking a rule, and you could divide each of these into various things, and here, this was what I was thinking of in an American context, essentially community oversight, you can broaden it to be, it goes to social control, which could include both formal or informal structures, it could include media, state control, including the courts. You can include court oversight, and there's some sort of commissions and some of the kinds of commissions which actually operate at the intersection of some of these.

Anyway, it does broad things, sort

1.3

of expanding these categories, stealing your triangle, as police, city and state. Does that help at all?

MS. WATSON: I think it is better.

MR. PEREZ: Not a suggested change, but we talked before about how the vertical line separating safety control and misconduct is often a barrier.

For instance, the community oversight, the people advising the department on where they should focus their crime fighting efforts are not having the same conversation as the people who are talking about allegations of police abuse.

Well, it seems that we should, we may want to recognize perhaps some of the horizontal lines can create barriers that are not helpful.

For instance, I'm thinking in particular about the horizontal line separating social control from the department control.

In many communities in the United States, especially dealing with the issue of policing minority groups, you often times had

б

Я

2.2

departments that were generally non-minority, especially at the upper end of the hierarchy. Betsy is one of very, very few woman police chiefs in the United States. That's clearly an exception to the rule and the problems that creates in terms of the public's willingness to accept what is going on, it must be mistrust of the police department that creates problems, so it seems to me we need to consider whether that horizontal line needs to be broken down with some certainty.

In Boston they have had a fair amount of success for the last several years by bringing minority groups to the table and involving them in a lot of decisions in the department.

There was an immense racial tension in Boston, which is a very eclectic community and there was really in recent years when they had brought literally the pastors from the local churches, the people who run the local social centers, brought everybody in the room, not only asking them where are the crime hot spots, but they're talking of other issues involving

.19

LASER STOCK FORM B

structure, and I think that has been vital, the crime rate in Boston is at a 25-year low, and at the same time complaints about police misconduct have dropped by 40 percent because everybody bought into the system, and it wasn't always the case that minority groups were included in these decisions.

There was a wide gulf. I suggest we consider not only breaking down the vertical lines, but consider the structural weaknesses of even having the horizontal lines.

MR. FRUHLING: I have a question, probably much more applicable to the American system. One thing is the court system which in fact exerts control, but you have so many other types of community controls, actually, rather than control. It becomes sort of an advisory board, they are called by the police to help them devise how to be more effective.

I'm not sure if they should be in the same category or maybe we should break control or other types of participation.

MR. SEN: Before exacting some kind of informal control --

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

MR. STONE: I think the social control is less likely to be formal or in establishing law, the state control is more likely.

You may have some form of state control that isn't as formal.

When I think of court control, it is interesting, the original scheme, I don't think of court control of the police at all, and I think that's partly a reaction in the states to an era when our Supreme Court, a lot of the debate around policing in this country still makes reference to this long past idea in the sixties when the Supreme Court started to influence through court decisions how the people acted from stopping and searching people on the streets and interrogation and the rest of it, and I think that time of great optimism that court decisions and court rules could affect police behavior, whatever amount of proof was into it, has now moved to a point -- the courts in New York decided that it was a violation of our state constitution for someone to be held without a hearing before a judge.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Similarly, while I think the Miranda rules about interrogation probably had an unexpected but real effect on policing, the rules about search and seizure, most police work gets conducted without a lot of the issues that might affect court eligibility. I think there is a lot. You have civil judgments against I don't know what the panel was in Houston or Austin, but in New York the civil suits against the police department are irrelevant to the police department, not accountability for how much damages were paid out or how many officers were found liable, so the courts, I think, have been quite distant. You have court comments and then you've got civil judgments and then you have occasional I've never heard of a criminal prosecutions. prosecution of a police manager for anything. MS. WATSON: Unless it is individual corruption.

MR. STONE: No reason you would prosecute.

MS. WATSON: Because things in your department were poorly managed.

·15

2.3

MR. PEREZ: The closest we got to prosecuting officers for failing to intervene, and even that is a very, very difficult thing to do because the level of knowledge that you have to show a jury --

MR. SEN: Rodney King wasn't.

MR. PEREZ: That's one case where the sergeant was on the scene and was prosecuted for willfully failing to interfere.

There was a different set of managers. Betsy is referring to the person back at the office because of years of neglect brought on a situation that creates a Rodney King incident. That person will be prosecuted criminally.

MR. STONE: We do prosecute people in this country who are landlords who investigate their building over years. The notion that you can prosecute somebody for managerial neglect of an asset is present in the U.S. law. We don't apply it to police commanders.

MS. WATSON: Is India different from other countries in that you are just as inclined

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to convict a police officer as you are every citizen?

MR. SEN: Yes. These officers are convicted by the court. They can put down a violation of the criminal act. Under the pending code, it is criminal offense, illegal detention cases, you have all violations of criminal law and police officers committed crimes.

MS. WATSON: That happens here The issue is so what. today.

The officers will look at that and say that poor sap got caught, it has nothing to do with me.

It is kind of like in our country this whole notion of capital punishment, it is somehow foolhardy to think if a state has capital punishment for murder, everybody will say I won't convict for murder now. It has no correlation.

We've had terrible cases in Austin and Houston and around the country where the prosecution of police officers, generally speaking, are abhorrent of the behavior as the

general citizenry, that is not us, it is not
going to transfer to me if I'm inclined to be
corrupt, I get the message there.

MR. SEN: You're inviting the

MR. SEN: You're inviting the criminal laws and the fear that the court will come down with and haul you up and punish you.

MS. WATSON: Officers are
languishing in jail 14 years in prison. You
can't do it like this, this kind of case will
not work, this kind of heavy punishment
occasionally on police officers, and that has a
deterrent effect. In your country, the cases
may be few, but in developing society there are
many.

MR. SZIKINGER: I would like to add something to what you just said.

I think judicial control over police activities is important not only in terms of punishing police officers or to extract damages from police, but to give the citizens the chance to challenge police decisions.

In our system it is administrative judicial review.

For example, Hungarian police have

5

6

10

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the right to adjudicate in minor offenses. police officer says you crossed the street when I deny. It would be the police and it was red. other police units which decides that number of cases.

Police issue resident permits, different permissions just to give people the right to challenge police decisions which is part of the concern, but not according to our constitutional provision, require access to justice in all cases when the legal debate is about basic rights and obligations according to the constitution itself, so I think it is very important, and, of course, it might be different in different legal systems.

In general, it is an issue to investigate what part judicial organs play in controlling police activities in that respect.

MR. PEREZ: I was reflecting on your In my own experience it has been a comments. mixed baq. What I thought I heard you say, police misconduct investigations generally don't have a deterrent effect on law enforcement.

MS. WATSON: That's what I think.

1.5

They're exception to the rules, aberrations from the norm. Like management by exception. If something goes terribly wrong and goes down, there will be judicial sanctions and we expect that.

MR. MELVILLE: From my own experience on both sides of the bar, in criminal cases, generally as a means of social reform is pretty ineffectual, it has a place, but has to be kept in mind with many other technologies.

MR. PEREZ: It gets back to what
Chris said earlier, you said how strong are the
democratic institutions that hold you
accountable in order to maximize the deterrent
effect. You have to have as strong an
institution as possible, otherwise (a) there
will be no public confidence in the outcome of
what goes through there and (b) will stop at
it. At least in some of the cases I've been
involved in that have been successful,
successful in large measure, we do have a
federal grand jury system, a federal court
system that people often times, whether it is
right or wrong, there's this perception that the

federal court is somehow this higher court that dispenses justice in a more neutral and detached fashion. Whether that's right or wrong is for another time, but the more important thing is it, in a sense when we have been successful, we have be able to make use of that.

I think what you said earlier is important about building that institution.

MR. STONE: Let me just try and pull a little bit of this together.

I think one of the things that we were talking about is the place of public safety and public order, they are two different things.

Issues of public safety and public order among the affirmative obligations of the police, how new is that, what pressures are there to put in, and there are interesting issues about misconduct that have been raised, and the different levels of misconduct and the relationship of different kinds of misconduct, and then we have interesting questions which state social control and departmental control are being imposed formally or informally, to

.17

what extent they're recognized in law or not, to what extent they support each other or acting against each other.

There's one more general comment that I don't know how to get in here, I think is important for us to discuss a little bit before we move to looking at how this templet works out in different regions, and that is the question that some of you raised at the beginning of the day about public and private security, so think a little bit about that.

Some of you talked about public police also working for private companies as a sign of weakness in the institutions. I think it happens routinely in this country. It is interesting, it has possibilities for different kinds of problems and for management. It would not be described by anybody I know as sort of a central institutional weakness of the structure. Here you say it makes me want to rethink what I say about it here.

I think the other issue about private security in this country that I think about, which is the pressure that the growth of

.23

private security places on public departments themselves to essentially compete, and to in some sense mimic private security.

We talked about the national versus municipal forces, but to some extent a municipal force structure can begin to mimic your private security system This desire to form to become decentralized may take on some of the features of private security that we see as a problem.

Before we move to a regional think to actually come back to some of these issues around the relationship of the growth of public and private security in terms of the other systems --

MR. FRUHLING: Something else with regard to the police.

The growth of a private market means also a growth of a new lobby which puts new pressures on the police, more fear, selling more alarms.

MR. STONE: Just really to give everybody a change of scene and a chance to stretch a little bit, lunch is down the hall in the other room.

(Luncheon recess.)

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SESSION. AFTERNOON

MR. STONE: Does anybody have further thoughts about how we ought to think about the role of the issues of private security to the issue of accountability that we've been talking about?

MR. MELVILLE: I wonder if we're not going to dilute our focus by doing that.

MR. STONE: I don't want to preclude If that's going to be an issue, and it that. was an issue, I want at to at least see if there is a way in how people think about this growth of private security.

Hugo said it before we took the break, one consequence, it becomes a lot of just money and different kinds of power lobbying, other kinds of influence that may affect police and policy.

There are issues about control of essentially public subsidizing of private security when people are working in both areas, the issue of people taking two jobs and using their training and equipment and those sorts of things, but there's also this issue of the

growing fear it creates, a large private industry in security. They'll actually do well where people are really scared of crime. That can create its own dynamic that public forces are supposed to respond to.

It also became an issue, a minor one, some of the early 1980s debates about communities policing. The growth of private security was used as one of the reasons why public police forces need to pay more attention to delivery and to deliver and service the communities, not just investigating. Maybe listing out those things that people have done is all that we that needs to be said about it.

I suspect it is going to come up in some ways.

MR. FRUHLING: I've heard in some countries it is not so much a question of accountability because they behave as a -- you have like control of the public organizations or state organizations, so that might become a problem, stop and search, surrounding certain private well-to-do affluent areas.

I remember once, a friend was

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

visiting Chile, I drove him around. Couldn't enter a full neighborhood, the whole neighborhood was closed by private security quards. This might be another issue.

Private security which is MR. SEN: now expanding to most of the countries, there First, it helps the police in are two reasons. the sense that police can concentrate on the jobs of the police instead of all jobs that police organizations have been called upon to perform, but it has problems in the sense, private security, they can't have the legal powers that the police have, accountability is not there, so misuse very often takes place, and the private security in many cases are not properly trained, they're not paid people. fellow had started a private security organization, make a fast buck, masquerading as private security agencies and all, so there are problems unless they're properly trained, properly directed, but on private security, agencies did jobs leaving the police selectively free for the main core functions of crime prevention investigation. They're useful.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This tendency -- the civilianization of the police, policemen are kept for the busy core jobs of the police. Private security agencies also can be of help to the police provided they themselves are properly trained, properly guided and properly organized.

MR. SZIKINGER: In our country the main problem is private security accountability to the police. Police issue the license for private security, they can check up at anytime a private investigator as a general obligation to give witness testimony, in any procedure, not only the criminal procedures, and private investigators, although police don't have the power to check the content of the contracts of the private investigator and their client, but police have the power to check with anything the investigator knows, and he has to tell everything he knows about anything, which is more interesting in our country, they negate any ties with organized crime or anything. would be an organized criminal, if my life was in danger, I would expect there is somebody who is not the police, they do not take the

6

7

Я

9

10

LASER STOCK FORM

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibility, somebody to defend me. They here we do not do anything with criminals, which is ridiculous.

At this time, Hungarian police have power as an organization to engage in providing services for money, which is, I think, just destroying the lines between public power and civilian society. That's another specific aspect of private policing, but this also belongs to the picture.

MS. WATSON: It strikes me, I see I think the police are helped both sides of it. to the extent that there are problem areas that hire private security. One of the debates that gets framed most often is moonlighting, whether they're really private or whether they're public police under contract with a private employer, and I guess it is beyond our scope to really debate the issue.

It seems to me there is some advantage having the public police work for the private employer, then they are absolutely subject to the rules of the department and to the controls. Anything they do for a private

.10

2.0

employer, they're subject to the same sanctions as they would if they were on a regular tour of duty.

I think one of the most interesting situations is out in California, which community is it, where there was a vote of the City Council to place the police with constables.

MR. SEN: Can they exercise the powers of the police under the private employment, for example, can he be in a factory also?

MR. STONE: Yes.

MR. PEREZ: It is precisely why they hire police. If something goes amiss, they don't have to call the police to say arrest that person, although it is interesting to note the City of New Orleans has grappled with this problem. New Orleans is arguably the most corrupt police department in the United States.

One of the interventions they did at a structure level, and I'm not sure it is still in place, I need to call someone to confirm it, they eliminated the use of overtime, they prohibited you from getting these jobs. What

.16

they were finding was that they were invitations for corrupt activity on the part of the law enforcement, who would then get very close with the owner of the nightclub and suddenly they're involved in all sorts of illegal activities, so it is a very -- it can be good, but it leads to mischief and New Orleans discovered that.

MR. STONE: I think we can try and leave it to the side as an important issue. I think it is going to keep coming up, it sounds like issues of accountability.

MS. WARD: And the same individuals.

MR. STONE: Usually it is both these things. You have the issue if you have separate forces, and then the separate set of issues with the same individuals, and issues about if you've not good people and well-trained, only being hired by legitimate businesses, it makes it sound very easy, but it is when --

MS. WATSON: It is like anything else, it has to be controlled. Officers can't go to work for any private employer and do anything. There is a screening that I think most departments have to say which businesses

are acceptable, and then we can pull a permit at anytime if there is a problem at a particular location, just won't let any officers work at

that location.

MR. SEN: Private employees can offer much more than the state police can do. Your best employees or officers, they will use more in the process.

MR. PEREZ: That's why New Orleans police officers are leaving all the time. They weren't making enough money legally.

MR. STONE: So one of the things we have to do is get a sense of what the -- for some of our particular countries' interest, you want to hand out this list, we need to produce this as part of this product, a sense of how the sets of issues we talked about play out.

Now, let me say something about this, the six regions we can bring up and we can play around with this in different ways, but this is an effort to in some manageable groups give a sense of how these different issues of state control, social control, departmental control, questions of what role the police have

1.7

and the kinds of conduct we're worried about, how these things play out differently in different regions.

The countries are simply the ones, with possibly one exception, where the Ford Foundation wants to make sure we give them some specific feedback because this happens to be the place they're interested in.

We can push back on this list and say, you know, that's all nice, but to really understand this phenomena, there is a better country if you're going to look anywhere in detail, it makes more sense to look else for one particular reason. Think of this is not as a settled list, but as a first cut of this.

And our focus is not policing in every country in the world, though it is getting close to that. It is about policing in democratic societies within these regions.

I guess what we were hoping to get out of this maybe in the next hour is to the extent that you -- we know around this table one or more of us has a view of what the principal issues around accountability in a particular

region are. Using this scheme or any of the other topics we talked about, want to try to get

4 those out.

The other thing is there are places you would look because of your knowledge of the region or if they're simply people or other practitioners, experts, others who know about that particular region we should be in touch with, we can do that too.

We want to make sure we don't let you all go without checking your collective wisdom about different places we have to at least mention in this review for Ford.

MS. WARD: I should also say that we did not at any point try to define what we're talking about what we mean as a democratic society, we have not been exigent, and that definition of how we go about that definition, of how we conceived of the project, talking about control of police, to at the extent that Ford was interested, went to look at the country.

MR. STONE: China is on this list because people at Ford called up and said, "What

25

7 2 about China, I thought you were only talking about democratic societies?" 3 "No, we're interested in China." 5 So here's this list. б Let me ask the preliminary question, 7 since we must do a regional overview of this 8 issue, of these issues we talked about, do these 9 regions make some sense or does someone have a 10 proposed amendment or change to the way these 11 are? 12 MS. WARD: About half of them reflect to divisions within Ford. 13 14 MR. FRUHLING: One thing, apart from 15 the Ford preference, doesn't make any sense, if 16 it is a democratic society, you don't have 17 Western Europe here. 18 MR. SZIKINGER: It would be better to use East and Central Europe. 19 20 MR. STONE: I think we want to 21 include Western Europe. Ford doesn't have any 22 interest in the Western European countries. Rather than restrict it to Eastern Europe, we 23

ought to add one or more of the Western European

countries since the United States is such a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

2.1

.22

23

24

25

focus on Ford, and we are here, and let us focus
on that.

One piece of advice from one person was to avoid Britain or any of those, but for my information, rather than call it Eastern Europe, one or more Western European countries.

MR. FRUHLING: It would be interesting for the region that some of them are very influential in terms of the type of police forces that were created in that country, France and Italy and Spain.

Do you have a sense if we were going to pick a Western European --

MR. SZIKINGER: If you take these countries, I would say Hungary, Poland and part of Russia are under the very strong influence, and expert influence, of the German police.

Romania is a bit more French connections, but the French and the German police are very close in the sense of having centralized police forces serving apparently the government. These countries show certain similarities, but it is up to decision, you can focus, of course, on Hungary, Poland and Romania. If you don't have

the means or inclination, no problem.

.

I think the list and the picture won't be complete anyway, so it is possible to show, taking the example of the Hungarian police how the continental police is like. You can point out the differences compared to the German police, the pattern is basically taken, and you can examine somehow the French model, if you take Romania, so it depends.

These countries certainly show much of the continental approach to policing. It is possible to investigate into Hungarian, while taking into consideration that these countries generally have a strong influence from Germany.

MR. SEN: South Asia, along with India, you keep Pakistan, Bangladesh, more or less the system is the same and how it is functioning and the country that can be included have very developed police systems, high police ability and these can be a model for many good practices.

MR. STONE: Is there a different pattern, is Spain a different pattern than the rest of the Western European continent?

1 MR. FRUHLING: No, they have been 2 involved in many police reforms, particularly 3 the military branch, but no. It would be 4 interesting maybe to have one or two European 5 countries. б MR. MELVILLE: Looking at those 7 categories it struck me four or five are former 8 British colonies, maybe different in the former 9 Portuguese or French colonies. 10 MR. STONE: This list is simply 11 driven by Ford. 12 Gana is dropped out here, Northern 13 Do you know if we would see a different Africa. 14 pattern? 15 MR. MELVILLE: I don't know. 16 would assume so. 17 There may be an MS. WARD: 18 opportunity to look at what other countries are 19 doing in terms of providing citizens -- if we 20 didn't look at policing in Spain, there may be 21 another piece in which we would look at what the 22 Spanish government and British government is 23

doing now, how they're involved in reform.

MR. STONE: It is interesting to

1.6

think of the Spanish force having gone through a lot of that, very different kind of case than Germany or France. It has been through its own formation.

MR. FRUHLING: Very recent municipal police forces or region.

MR. STONE: Let me first test this proposition, do you have a sense that the way these, the kinds of state control, social control and departmental control issues play out, are they different by region of the world, is the regional division a useful one, will we find the different patterns by region?

MR. SZIKINGER: Yes, because as I mentioned, there is a very strong interdependence among police forces and among police policies, at least in our region. There is a pattern in Europe for policing, even in types of socialism they followed the German and the French pattern, and the Spanish policing system is much like the French one.

I think as far as Europe is concerned, certainly there is a strong regional impact. Not speaking about the English because

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it is separate.

MR. MELVILLE: I was wondering whether the dividers are political rather than geographical, there is political thought and models. You were speaking in there about the model that you have of --

MR. SZIKINGER: Continental.

MR. STONE: Let me start by asking you, let's start with what you see as the European set of issues and philosophy, if you were trying to characterize the issues of most issues most currently, that would be that they --

First I would say MR. SZIKINGER: police are tools of the government only, the police are a subsystem within administration, public administration, police force duties in the field of fighting crime, only theoretically as a secondary rule they are within the system of public administration, but when they investigate there is a function of subordination to prosecution, not challenging the basic position of police, police are hierarchical and they are basically in general administrative

structure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Should I go on?

MR. STONE: Yes.

MR. SZIKINGER: There is a

difference, I will not go into problems, but there is a difference between policing as a general duty of the state to protect individuals and to provide safety, and this task of the government is provided by general administration and different bodies of administration, and there is the executive police in the sense of organization, police, and this is one explanation of the military-type structure of these police forces police are basically for enforcing, applying physical force to enforce decisions and serving the administration, as more or less independent from the other part of the administration and organized into military-type structure in order to keep discipline and in order to provide some accountability, so this is the basic approach.

The realities, of course, are quite different, police are serving local, police are basically not for providing for order

.25

maintenance, but for law enforcement. That is basically a philosophical point.

Police are officially not responsible for keeping the order in the streets, but police are responsible for applying the law, the letter of the law.

police are not accountable to local communities. Police are organized basically -- there is different development in France and in Spain, as you mentioned, but as a general rule, police are responsible for following the letters of the law.

MR. STONE: So generally centralized national structure.

MR. SZIKINGER: Yes.

MR. STONE: They focus more on the law rather than community services.

MR. SZIKINGER: Yes.

MR. STONE: I assume that's in the accountability structure, there is a lot of accountability to the state, the executive branch.

What about the sort of two other issues that we talked about, do the courts play

2.0

an oversight accountability control role of the police?

MR. SZIKINGER: Not specifically.

The basic means of control is the ministerial responsibilities, so police may be instructed by Minister of the Interior or Minister of Justice. Generally, the Minister of Interior is responsible.

Social control, there is no formal structure, police and local governments may form commissions according to the Hungarian law, but they don't have any specific power as they can discuss things, but no decision-making powers.

There are forms, of course, of informal social control, but many forms of control of society about the police.

We have civil guards. Something different in Spain. These civil guards, voluntary organizations, people organize themselves into associations to protect the public security without having official policing powers.

In the first years of the transformation, these groups were really somehow

.15

2.2

an embodyment of criticism towards police, but now they join police, they are in cooperation with police, they are actually serving the police. Police are taking control over, I mentioned, private security, different segments of society, and this is a very dangerous development, so having police organizations, and you are right, the American approach to policing and other countries, that you must not over-centralize them, make them responsible to the government because they can use them for any purpose.

I can tell you one example. Police in Hungary are given very wide powers, and most of them are without any possibility to check, to control, and that was based on the Police Act, and the Minister and everybody was convinced, of course, will use those powers in order to just contribute, to do justice, and the public peace and so on, and now the government two years ago passed a resolution, and the government very openly, not the public's decision, but is not a secret, required that police contribute more to the state budget, to collect more money. Police

.21

have the powers, they collect fines and they were given the responsibility. If they collect more funds than it has been planned, then 50/50 they will be given back half of it in the years budget.

This is the danger, and very important, I think, in terms of accountability, so social control is actually very weak because in our approach, in our thinking of police, I might say we're accustomed to have police something strange and something separate, something military.

I have to confess, people don't have real strong inclination to exercise more control on the police, although some initial signs are changing, as it can already been seen.

The departmental control is, of course, very strong, and that within this framework almost everything depends on a national commissioner who is the czar of police who can instruct anything in his police, and police theoretically responsible for policing and department control is effective in a way because police have all the means to control

unions, and they do it by employing police officers, especially dealing with internal control and supervision functions.

The system is built generally upon the police units to be controlled by the interior police units and all police to be controlled by the national headquarters of police, and as frontline police officers do their job, the higher you go in the hierarchy, you will find more and more responsibilities for moving the organization, which is not very productive, but this is how the system works.

So there is a very strong internal control, not necessarily serving the interests of the productivity because if you have local police units, you certainly have in Hungary, and local police units have the right to cooperate with local organs informally and work with local people, that is fine, but the very fact you have a centralized organization and you have a National Health Board, several hundred people, this produces an atmosphere in which the interior organ appears to have been obliged to instruct the police, and you will find that

local police have the right to do good things with the local community, but they don't have the possibility because they have to answer telephone calls, they have to comply with instructions from above, and this is very, I think, coming back to my basic idea, this is something against the democratic concept, the outcome is against human rights, and the whole system in such a way is something against the elementary function of police, so it is not effective even in certain times.

I would like to have a much more centralized police, local police. There's no general public safety, it is different in New York, the same in Hungary, so you must not give powers to instruct police in public security, local public security cases, but this is necessarily how things develop within such a central or militarized structure.

MR. PEREZ: To what extent does the media serve as a mechanism for accountability, if at all?

MR. SZIKINGER: It is contradictory.

On the one hand, this is a means to control police, but on the other hand it is also true the police can use the media. The police are very strong and the media cannot get information concerning violence from anywhere other than the police.

The critical attitude the media has faced, and now everybody knows there are journalists who serve the police, make deals with the police officers, you give me that information and I don't write about certain things. Even the journalists, there are two, but certainly -- the Danish have two types of journalists, one is a friend of the police and the other is critical of police, but this second doesn't write on particular investigations because he won't be given the information.

MR. SEN: Are the journalists not critical of the police, critical of the police work, if critical evaluation of the police would not appear in the press --

MR. SZIKINGER: Some of them are.

The media, that's another question, the media itself is centralized in an informal

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

way. Media is in the hands of several people
who are close to the government of today. I
don't know after the elections, but the media is

very centralized.

As an example, last November we all we had all around sweeps around Hungary in connection to some explosions, and some criminal acts which were regarded as payoffs within the underworld, but causing much -- as police acted in an unusual way even within our context and many ordinary people got stopped, searched and harassed by the police in order to find organized criminals, which is crazy, I think, in terms of policing, but there was a declaration made by the Hungary security and signed by other NJOs with some statements about police brutality and police misuse of the powers, and none of the Hungarian dailies published that except one weekly, although it must not be from the standpoint of the journal itself, but just to publish that which says this approach is against human rights.

This approach is -- actually that practice was unconstitutional, there was no

24

25

happened, just to come to an end of the story, so it was declared as good and serving public security, and in May, this year, police themselves were glad that the actions, the explosions were just in order to mislead police and to distract police focus from the manipulations with the trade of oil which were behind, so police were misled, and so if in that case some papers would have published that declaration, perhaps some people could think about the illegality and the purpose of the whole police action.

This title democratic society, I prefer using most communist society for Hungary, which leads further from the topic of police, but I do not want to bore you with those, but if you have police which are an enormously powerful body within that society, and if we just realized police are somehow in opposition to the elementary ideas of democracy, then you can conclude whether a society is moving forward.

MR. STONE: You mentioned this morning about Ombudsman within the structure.

Who appoints them, did they actually work, did they serve to hold anyone accountable?

MR. SZIKINGER: The Ombudsman are generally elected by parliament. We have one general Ombudsman, that is Commissioner of Citizens Rights.

MR. STONE: General Ombudsman for the whole government, not just the police?

MR. SZIKINGER: That's right. One general deputy, we have two specialist purposes, one for data protection and one for monitoring advice. They can make recommendations, they also can initiate official proceedings, and in case of disagreement they can report to parliament.

MR. STONE: That's the closest thing there is to any kind of democratic society --

MR. SZIKINGER: No, it is not. They have the power to get information on the complaints by police, but they don't have the capacity of doing it regularly. In theory they can hire people.

MR. SEN: How effective are they in policing the police?

MR. SZIKINGER: I think most effective in policing policemen because they publicize their recommendations. Sometimes the Minister takes their point of view and through influencing the Minister, they can have a great impact on the police.

On the other hand, they cannot actually -- the legislation is somehow contrary, elementary use of democracy.

MR. SEN: Is the Ombudsman selected by the government?

MR. SZIKINGER: No, elected by parliament. Basically the same government has a majority in parliament, so it is not a big deal.

MR. STONE: You in your first remarks talked about three kind of police structures that you thought characterized at least three countries, and when you talked about this, you said if we would look in Chile, if it a was community structures, we would see two community organizations, but that isn't true, there really is social control.

MR. FRUHLING: Of some sort.

 $\cdot 1.3$

MR. STONE: Especially with reference to social controls, you say it is very weak in these countries?

MR. FRUHLING: I would say it is very weak here, though a big role played by your press. In Argentina, Brazil, maybe less, so with Chile. That is to say there's no scandal problem or human rights situation. If it went to the police, I would be concerned.

Even members of the police

department who support the government would be

very critical if that happened, and you would

have statements from human rights

organizations. Even there are fringe groups. I

wouldn't say there's no social control, and I'm

positive that they are very well aware that they

need to count on civilian and community support

to perform their duties.

There's not an isolated party who will say the hell with it, we don't really give a damn whether they like us or not.

In fact, one of the best offices, one of the offices I used most effectively was public relations work which in fact very much of

LASER STOCK FORM B

J

.19

this press, the evolution that the police have been experiencing in the 1960s when it was created.

I would say there is some sort of social control, but there is no formal social control with sanctions. That is to say, when you have community control, there is a structure, functioning process, which means you meet with a group, the group can a sanctioning process, which means you meet with a group. The group can recommend sanctions, they cannot do it, but I would say that our pattern is one of a plurality of forces, which in the case of Brazil and Argentina here, these are provincials, these are federal countries. In none of these countries, are a lot of these forces, depending from little towns, whatever, you don't have.

Secondly, you have a plurality of forces, which some of them are more military in character.

In Chile, the Carbinieros, you go to a little academy, you follow a military creed.

Officer, private, whatever, go to a different school. Very class-base of people, you're a

possible class and that probably makes you think that is a violation of the law. The militarized groups are under the jurisdiction of military courts, which means that in times of political participation of the military, in Argentina and Chile, which means that these probably accelerate this process.

It is true, as it is in Europe, in most of these countries, the police have a governmental board, used by the government.

Promotions does it from the government. It is the ability of the government transforming the police, being able to implement strategies, defining how to fight crime or how to develop or employ its forces is strictly weak.

I would say if you look around, you'll see that very few articles have been written on that in American police forces. What has been written, generally been written on human rights abuses.

With respect to practicability in times of normalcy, something that you said before, some of these police forces have a commitment to legality. In Chile we have the

Carbinieros, civilian police. None of them have a commitment to democracy. There is a difference. They would abide by the law of rule, whoever was on top, which means the way with the purpose and the law is not what guides them by democratic considerations.

When I say "democratic considerations," I not only mean considerations that favor the respect for human rights, but, for instance, they're very close, it is very difficult to get data information. I'm going doing a study on police and they're beginning to open up a little bit, but three years ago they were impossible. There's no data.

In Mexico, the Mexican case I know much less about.

Finally, I would say that with respect to the internal departmental control, the departmental control is generally hierarchal. There are frequent complaints internal investigation did not enforce the realize.

Then to deny that bad things happen, there are some changes lately, but generally they tend to deny because that would affect

Я

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

their image.

In the case of the resignations the peacekeeping procedures, the Carbinieros, there is a special provision which says that the proceedings should be followed -- this is a military situation, the captain or lieutenant, was visiting him for this or that. The whole system is built to maintain discipline and hierarchy rather than the rights of the third party.

MR. STONE: You talk a lot about difficulty to maintain control.

Is it common to find police departments dismissing and redirecting even their own members for misconduct?

MR. FRUHLING: Yes.

What transpired, you don't have account of the reasons for discipline. The police of Chile has ejected almost 15 percent of the force. Many cases for tax exemption, corruption, a few cases for human rights allegations and abuses. A few cases where people are sentenced and they have to be evicted.

.10

1.9

2.2

MR. SEN: Can the police go to the court of law for redress?

MR. FRUHLING: Could go to the court of law right at the beginning.

What happens is since we do not know the number of people who were rejected, it is very hard to know what the resolution must be.
When the knew Commander of the Carbinieros took office by the end of '95, he rejected four or 500 people. We don't know if they were rejected for minor problems because they didn't write reports in time, but things are getting better to some extent.

All these countries are experiencing major transformation.

In the case of Chile, that's going to take place probably in the year 2000. The one who directs the investigations is either the prosecutor or the judge. The whole thing falls in written report in which the police report in a memo about the central bureau control.

When these legal systems are getting transformed will have an impact on the useful context in which the police operate, if they're

-15

a time for controlling police, I have control police negotiations.

MR. SEN: Is the reason for transformation the Victorian --

MR. STONE: That is a short question and long answer.

Let me say the that's part of an international change promoted first by the U.S. it was first promoted because the government had to show in order to justify the support for this law to show them an effective judicial system to --

MR. SZIKINGER: These military-type organizations are really interested in hiding anything what can be detrimental to the frame of the force, and this is one very strong cause not to disclose things, even in many cases, not to get a -- most of the police officers, at least in Hungary, that some flight offshore is not necessary to pursue that crime. If a police chief objects, convince all of the -- it depends on his personality because they will turn against him, and efficiency, and how do you find them, and this contract approach is secondary.

Therefore, in addition to that, in order to get police officers accused of brutality, what generally happens, police find the people. If there was a meeting and we don't have -- and it appears sure something happened, two options. One is the police beat up the civilian one, the second is the civilian attacked the police. One of them would appear the criminal since it is at the very least before the police chief, you're responsible for creating such a decision. It is impossible, he has to choose, and, of course, he chooses to pursue the civilians.

MS. WATSON: Where did these chiefs come from?

MR. SZIKINGER: Some of them are in your system. Basically police chiefs come from within the system.

MR. STONE: Do you get a sense that there's less and less discipline within the force?

MR. SZIKINGER: No, there is discipline in general and social programs, so these are important for the police, but police officers could not charge each other for using

the other before this is said and done, and, therefore, just one concluding point.

It is quite different if a police officer says so, it is against the rule of law to expect confession from somebody within a closed police force or the Supreme Court which is an outside of our body, they're just interested in having information.

MR. FRUHLING: Let me tell you something related to the conversation we had at lunch, just something I think is relevant.

Most of the people who are increasing in Latin America are people in preventive detention. Many prisoners will get managed detention, but the legal system it takes too long and so many days. The confession plays a very different role in the legal situation.

The question is not to get the guy convicted.

China, of course, we won't mention,
India, Pakistan, Malaysia they were all British
colonies, pretty good. India, Pakistan
Malaysia, these are all British colonies and the
laws are the same, same penalty goes as you have

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in India. MR. STONE: The forces as decentralized, are these police force the same? The tradition has been MR. SEN: utilization model. For example, in India directly into The police log on to the state. the state. Police forces in the state. One state is big, almost 100 million people, but one police force. MR. STONE: The state forces, do they have the same picture you have --India under the control of MR. SEN: the civilian leadership, so Army has nothing to do with policing. The Army has nothing to do with this. On the other hand, government would very much like to see Army stay far away, the fear of a military coup is there. MR. FRUHLING: Is that true in Pakistan too? MR. SEN: Pakistan under our tradition for a longtime. Human Rights Commission, which is a violation of human rights

in Army questionnaire, so the Army is totally under the control of the civilian government.

MR. STONE: And the different states, are the police seen as a line to the government of that state?

MR. SEN: It is under the control of the government of the state. Sometimes it is against the government in power, but for all the states laws are the same, Penalty Court, Procedure Court, Evidence Act, apply all over the country and state. One important part of the leadership situation, we have police service officers, then they send them all over the country, and this does not start with this position. It is in the highly qualified.

MR. STONE: I was struck when I was in South Africa, in some govern institutions there are simply a lot of taking of letters on post-colonial experience in countries like that.

MR. MELVILLE: Yes.

MR. STONE: Do police forces look around the continent for models?

MR. MELVILLE: No, generally South

1.4

Africa is looking out of Africa for examples.

That's because the countries have similar problems. In many of these countries, the problems exist there, they haven't come up with solutions.

MR. STONE: David Misner is a member of our communications department here. When we get in a discussion of the papers --

MR. MELVILLE: All the countries in the group that you have here were former British colonies, in contrast with the situation in Asia, the first three are all under the Roman-Dutch system, the other two legal systems would be the British system as far as policing is concerned.

South Africa is making a conscious effort to go through community basis. I think the problem in all of them was they were there to serve an elitist group and now have to spin the service to all population groups. I think most of the countries there, there are some tensions as far as that is concerned, and I think other things characterize all of the police and have a density of brutality and

.16

corruption as far as these models outside of control.

The Catholic Bishops Conference, they've taken a consistent approach throughout. I think safely in South Africa, among government cases, seem to be subservient. It is not a problem at this stage. Some of the countries do have statutory bodies or Ombudsman. I think there's one in Namibia, and certainly in respect of the first three countries, their constitution contained charters of rights, justiciable charters of rights. The court plays a major role.

I'm aware since they had those rights in Zimbabwe very few reached the court.

The difficulty, as far as the various cultures within these communities, the consciousness of having all these rights doesn't exist.

We just recently had a publicity drive, but again we had to do it on a gradual basis, but we would look at secondary sources of complaints, for instance, other statutory bodies, human rights organizations, attorneys,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

where the people are likely to say that they're aware of.

I think it would be very difficult for us to get into something more. There is a general public in those areas which was actually mentioned to us, which were not serviced by these human rights. There is probably quite a large part of South Africa certainly where people are going to benefit, in particular, from democracy when they have no real means in a practical basis.

MR. STONE: Are there other organizations like yours or structures like yours in other African countries?

MR. MELVILLE: I'm not aware of any such structure, but that's something we'll look into. At this stage I'm not aware of any others.

MR. STONE: If anybody knows from people you are talking to here in the Middle East and North Africa, we would be delighted to know.

I have the list of MR. MELVILLE: people who attended the Ombudsman Association.

MR. STONE: Probably those sort of people, it might be better to find out from them.

We talked a lot earlier today about the situation in both New York and the states, and the only other North American country in this group could be Canada.

I was just curious about what your reaction is about these set of issues which sound very different from the kinds of questions about police accountability and structures in the U.S., but I'm wondering what you think, is there common stuff here that you say connects with conversations we're having in the U.S. or in the civil rights perspective or the mismanagement instead of --

MS. WATSON: That's really wonderful.

MR. STONE: It is the way we talk about policing in this country.

MS. WATSON: I see a great deal more similarity than difference. I think the difference is in the way in which the problem gets framed as opposed to the actual result.

.23

The underlying issues are quite the same, and I have been listening with great interest to the different ways in which approaches are taken to try to gain some control.

The sense I get listening, there is a frustration about this apparent inability to get some change in the department. The police departments are resistant to this outside influence, so we create all these mechanisms, whether they be legislative or community or whatever, something certainly from the means of trying to demonstrate to get in and it creates a bias that there's something terribly wrong with the police department. There's something amiss culturally with the integrity and all of it.

I would venture again the vast majority of people in the police departments are decent, law-abiding people who wanted to do the right thing, but they have they have had a particular right defined for them that's generally accepted and they want to write for themselves. They write in some country abiding by the dictates of whoever happens to be in power who told them it was something different.

I think communicating with them that something is different is part of the key.

I have been frustrated by an inability to articulate what we call community policing. Try to define that. There are definitions for every word.

We've had problems today talking about accountability, what is that, and if we don't get the language correct, we're not going to be able to communicate to anybody.

It seems to me the first order of business is really coming to some agreement, however general it might be, in terms of what they truly do mean by effective policing, about communicability and then how, once we've defined what it is we were talking about throughout the organization. We haven't seen what we mean, management by accountability.

MR. PEREZ: I was struck by the similarities. I put down some of what you were saying about some areas of the country they didn't or don't exercise democracy and certain tolerance for your behavior. I'm struck with the similarities in the context of what we've

_

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

talked about as social control.

The whole civil community's structure, and we're struggling with that with vary degrees of success. They refer to a very initial area. I think one can legitimately question the real efficacy of these programs, and so in a sense we're again struggling with the identical issues that you're struggling with.

MS. WATSON: There's one theme that seems to be a recurring one. We have spent a whole lot of time talking about who is the top, should it be that way or not and what are the criteria.

At one of the breaks I was talking about the situation at home for me where my replacement was named, and it was very critical. The City Manager kept looking around to try to find out what a good police chief should look like. Well, shouldn't we be able to agree on what it is you're looking for, what you're trying to accomplish?

I would like to know in the other countries if there is a process whereby you go

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through that, do you have an expectation by which it can occur?

MR. SEN: The point you re is important. People doing a very difficult job under very difficult circumstances.

The head of the police can be retained by the boss whenever he called. are criteria on the basis of which you give the selection. By the powerful body, certain procedure selections, and I think the constitution has to really move from the court. What we're trying to set up is the proposal, some kind of security commission with some of the graduation, membership of the opposition, and the judge of the high court so anytime, out of state counselor, you have to get the name, selection of the chairman and the members are paid by the Prime Minister, but the leader of the opposition, and they can't really remove from you unless they became very mad and it is true by the Supreme Court statute. Otherwise, police would be the hand maiden of the government. The police will be sitting home and it will have an effect on that.

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STONE: I think we'll take a few minutes here. Where this has taken me, it sounds like we're heading both in the written product we do and maybe in the meeting in March to be thinking about a variety of different People say accounting first, and they usually had one particular thing in mind or something to review or the social control issues that we've been talking about. It sounds like we can probably take a variety of different kinds of accountability, and just the conversation now has opened up the question of the accountability for the head of the department, what are the principals of that good officer and how the selection process is the form of accountability. Ombudsman's role, of the general government Ombudsmen, whether they can work on police issues effectively. issues, clearly the relationship, talking about ministerial account of responsibility, very undeveloped, and my question is those are probably weak everywhere, and there may be another section, discussion about that, and the issue. This morning we talked about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

.19

2.0

21

22

23

24

departmental control.

This afternoon we mixed it up with the question of transparency of that, and the different question, whether departmental control is being exercised, and in a way it is opened, the hidden issue, whether opened or not, sometime the department would be better policing themselves, if they don't have to say why it is they kicked somebody out, so I can easily see the way this is moving would be a sea of various different kind of mechanisms, and both the structure of what we write and maybe in the discussions in March we can focus on one of them and review what it means in different contexts and in different places and move on to another one and look at that, rather than to try to capture the picture in one place and move on to another place.

MR. SZIKINGER: So as far as I understood, one of the problems is how is it between efficiency and human rights. I would like to hear and learn how is it in your countries.

In Hungary, powers for the police,

25

1,5

-25

additional stuff, everything was given, but even according to the officials, the crime statistics, the situation is worse than it was before, so it would be really interesting to have, it would be superficial, it is very difficult, it would be interesting to learn how strict a control, as you have library literature, we don't have research in these countries.

One of the problems in my country was a few surveys made on policing, but I think that is a very important point as far as we can conclude how restriction on accountability affects on the efficiency.

MR. STONE: It sounds like a broad view around this table. Good management, that cuts against the rhetoric in probably the countries as well.

Let's take a little stretch.

(Recess taken.)

MR. STONE: Now, in putting together this group, Heather and I wanted to try and keep it small so we can have discussions and hear each other and all participate in the

conversation. That necessarily means it is a very select group, and there's lots of issues and places that aren't represented here, and we hoped that by putting funds in this grant to be able to commission short or midsized papers from the selected other experts, we would be able to round out some of the ideas and perspectives that aren't represented here.

It is also possible that there are individual themes in our conversations that need some more time writing about and thinking about and developing into a larger paper, and we have funds available to commission probably five working, five papers.

I'm less worried about the funding part of this than I'm about the time available in doing them to make sure we get a good product and make the whole effort worthwhile.

There is a huge amount already written about policing in its various forms, and this, just mostly, this very dense bibliography that is partially put together here that we have in draft form, just the beginning of what will turn out to be a much larger selection of

them.

conferences. Some of the conference papers and articles that have been written and even some full-length books are really quite broad, so what we're hoping to do is we want to use the opportunity to engage other people here in more depth or to engage others who aren't here. We want to get it as strategically as possible to add as much value as we can. We thank several of you who have suggested paper topics or authors or paper topics with authors and those that are shown here a little bit as we have

It also occurs to me that the conversation today may suggest other things today as well.

Have you looked down, we have this three or four-page list which starts fairly specific.

MS. WARD: The first two pages are topics, the second two are pages of authors, potential authors if we decide on a topic, and these are just some suggestions.

MR. PEREZ: Can I back up, what is our goal in terms of the development of these

.10

2.0

papers and who is our audience in formulating these papers?

MR. STONE: All right.

If you took the two questions that I posed at the beginning of the morning, that is, how can we link the police governance function and concern about human rights together and is there value, what do we learn about looking at these issues across different regions to the extent that we can enlighten that conversation, closing the real issues on this theme through papers that we can't do simply by checking what we have among us and leaving some conversations for March, there are issues that there is a point on a piece of an analysis or even a very simple piece of original research which aren't going to lend themselves to a lot of original research.

If there's something we can put together and do or if we knew who could do it and give us a different perspective to be on that, if there is a perspective, if there's some particular individual or simply a group of people that have perspective on these issues

-16

The question about the audience is a bigger one.

It is my expectation that both what's called the mapping document and any papers we commission will be made available and disseminated more broadly so it can also inform this field.

I will note this is a very crowded field. It may be if we do something really good there will be a lot of interest in it and a lot of attention to it, but there are a lot of papers being generated already.

MS. WARD: There are some, but I would say there's real opportunity to do something original here.

What I've sort of picked up in looking at a lot of this literature, there may be a lot of comparative police work, but it is

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

not necessarily focused on the countries that we're looking at in this discussion, and I think there is a lot of potential there.

MS. WATSON: I guess what I would especially like to say is I guess a focus on an action plan as opposed to conversation, we've had some very rich conversation, but I'm always left with the question, so what do I do now, and if that question doesn't get answered, if there aren't at least some solid ideas on what to do next, then all it is just discussion.

It occurs to me looking through the bibliography and so forth there are a couple of people that I know, Wasserman is one and David Bailey.

Bailey I thought was fascinating because the research that he did in various countries where he found all the similarities, he talked about the culture issue, and if we agree, as I think we can, generally, that there is this culture issue, then what specific steps might one take to actually alter the culture in the near term. I'm not talking about the next generation, I'm talking about the near term.

I think there are some things that can be done, but they do need to focus attention and support, demonstrations perhaps or some such, but I think a very thorough discussion of those kinds of issues, and, obviously, I have a bias in terms of the direction I would go, but if we can pick some themes up like that, that I think are fundamentally important, the one that I'm hearing most strongly around this table is the inability to penetrate this policing domain.

MR. STONE: Well, we can do anything at all here, we'll be guided by it.

MS. WATSON: If I could just kind of talk for a minute about the project that I'm doing just because I think it is one of the reasons why I was so excited when Heather called me, I said, "My God, it is right down my alley."

I started this project, I have a one-year project, I started it in April. What I did was take a look at the literature on leadership, and my thought was at the time that I could from all these experts around the

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

country and world who were writing about
leadership, I could find out what the commonly
accepted definition for leadership is, and once
I had done that, then my idea was I would pool
together these middle managers, sergeants and a
group of lieutenants and captains and I'd say,
"Okay, here is the definition of leadership
that everyone agrees on now, what does this mean
to you?"

Much to my shagrin, I find there is no definition of leadership; number 2, there is no similarity really other than we call sergeant sergeant, there's really not a similarity. A sergeant in a small Texas town is not like a sergeant in New York City. To get the two to agree to what leadership would entail in their sphere of influence is quite different.

I have journeyed down this path
where I am now next week going to pull together
a group of police chiefs from various countries
and the United States and some academics like
Herman Goldstein and others to hear this
presentation regarding what a leadership model
might look like, and the whole idea is to

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

transform the way organizations are structured, the way people are recruited, promoted, what accountabilities they're required to achieve and every level of the organization.

I don't know where this is going to go other than if I can engage police chiefs, and they will in turn donate people from their organizations for some considerable training, that we will be able to flesh out some of these accountabilities at every level in the hierarchy, and I will, as part of my project, and independent of this, be writing up this exploration, but I wonder if any of you might be able to assist my thinking on this by telling me of similar kind of studies that may have been done regarding really the delineation of responsibility.

I have been unable to find out anywhere where it is really quite clear what these middle-layer management models mean. I found one only. Surely there's not only one, and if there's only one, then maybe -- I don't know.

MR. STONE: There is a whole

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussion in the police U.S. management
literature about the whole role of middle
managers. The theories of middle management and
shifts from just moving information up and down
and trying to just do quality work --

MS. WATSON: I haven't seen anything useful.

It is true middle management is generally talked about as middle management, and you might have two layers or five layers or ten layers, and, you know, you have these participative manager things, quality circles, matrix organizations, all these kind of things that make this hierarchy work, but I haven't found anything -- that's not true, I did try total quality management in Austin, was not effective. I tried reengineering, got the books, commissioned the study, almost got fired as a result of that one. It is not that there aren't some approaches, but in terms of something that we all could perhaps use, that we could use that would have a universal tone to them would be enormously appealing, but I haven't been able to find anything.

-12

MR. STONE: But it is interesting, one of the things we're hearing, first of all, policing as a subject hasn't been the focus of the same kind of attention like it has been even here, and you say there has been the closed hierarchal and quasi-military nature that has been just assumed as the function is interesting.

MS. WATSON: Tactics, but not management, and that raises the whole issue, and then I'll shut up, we want to hold the police accountable, right, but accountable to whom for what.

We talk about that like we all understand what that means, but I'm not so sure that my understanding of accountability to whom for what is the same.

MR. STONE: That was the thing I was trying to get at.

I know as we do the mapping document that will be essentially the organizing question, what does accountability mean both in practice and desire. What does it really mean, what does it mean in law, what it means in

.18

2.0

practice and where is it people published, where are people trying to advance that question, to whom and for what.

MS. WATSON: Do you want different sets of papers around that same theme from different perspectives?

MR. STONE: We can do anything we want. It is really a question of what people think would be most helpful. One approach would be to focus on papers that are proposing some way forward to take us, look at what would an international set of standards on these issues looks like, how would it be useful, what would be the problems that would have to be overcome to do it, and not try to write them in time, but look at what the definitions would be, who would use them, what the current ones out there are and what are the limitations of those.

One could look at the whole military and corporate list of management issue. We can use the papers as a series of ways of looking at almost the sort of concept papers for action that might come out of this kind of conversation. Also look at it simply as a way

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

of looking at particular issues. We can look at the selection of police chiefs, and I was looking at how police executives are selected or held accountable in terms of renewal or discipline themselves.

We could look at the role of the media in two, four, six, just one -- we could do it any number of ways like that.

MR. SEN: The main question should be police accountabilitiy, what we mean by this, and accountable to whom and for what purpose.

I have a little difference of opinion, these kind of studies will enable us to move, the factors which have been tried to make police accountability in democratic society, how police have responded to this, what are the good practices which have to be followed and what can be done.

It is not exactly that immediately some kind of action program, but we are here, we can produce a paper indicating on a global scale what exactly the accountability means, how it has been tried in different countries, what are the roadblocks and impediments and what are the

1.4

factors that promote accountability and help the police to follow the cause of human rights. That kind of study will be very useful, so we can draw from the experience of each other some other practice which may be followed in India may be of some relevance in Hungary, but if we wonder to other topics, style of management within the police or whether they're paramilitary --

MS. WATSON: How could we talk about accountability generally without speaking about accountability specifically?

It is one thing to say the police are accountable to the community for whatever. What does that mean in practice, however, unless there is a translation of that global sentiment to individual police officer, who specifically is the individual officer accountable to for what and how is that accountability captured. If a sergeant gives an unlawful order in Hungary, who holds the sergeant accountable, is it the community; if it is not the community, then that's not what we're really talking about. We have to be quite specific for whom

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

11

12

13

-14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and for what.

It has to be translated to an individual level, otherwise it is just out there.

Accountability to the MR. SEN: laws, existing laws, police must be accountable and from there everything follows, a sergeant has to be accountable to law, and for that the legal system has to take action against him, and that comes because of the laws of the community, police accountability, otherwise accountability to their legal bosses, which is happening in many places, which is a total -- we have to be very clear on this, and because the issue which came -- at the time when the democratic society, police accountabilitiy is to the laws of the land and the laws of the land can reflect -- the police are accountable to the laws, accountability to the community and any act done by the police in contravention of the law, steps have to be taken to insure that police accountability are enforced.

My question is if I'm MS. WATSON: accountable to the law, that's not a person, I'm

2.1

accountable to an idea or law. If I break that law, who holds me accountable, the judge? Where is the first person? If I break the law, what then, what's the next step?

MS. WARD: Before we go too far down this, this sounds like a paper topic.

I think the way we first set up this structure, Chris had a series of accountability, accountability within the department, accountability to civilians and to the law and so on, progression, and we can talk about each step of it, so I'd like to try to bring the discussion back to -- let's define what we're talking about in accountability. I think that could be a whole separate area and more to how we can --

MS. WATSON: What I'm trying to do is understanding what accountability is, we haven't defined it yet. We can say it is this

MS. WARD: It is all of those things. I think we're trying to figure that out.

MR. STONE: In all these structures

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

there must be systems of accountability. Because of the nature of police accountability, a lots of ways, in the media, your unit, partner, your sergeant, it is clear even though your sergeant isn't holding you accountable, structures operating, Ombudsman or courts or prosecution, other things are holding you accountable. Most of it is traceable to law of one kind or another.

Also the kind of media accountability we've been talking about which are only a tangential accountability with relation to the law.

One of the reasons for attention on it, all of them are efforts to try and gain democratic control, connection with democracy here is crucial. In a dictatorship it doesn't It is very clear the only place come up. accountability and the challenge of this is to take the legitimate exercise of force in a democracy, figure out how you're going to deploy that, you want to preserve issues of rights of minorities and rights of active political discourse where change is possible, how do you

^

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

keep the police within the law effective and not just staying within the law by not doing anything, working effectively without violating the rights of individual and without compromising the structure of society as a whole.

The answer is lots of things, and they have some mutual efficacy maybe, and we talk about a lot of different forms here. We talk about the importance of public education, talk about the importance of structures in a society as a whole and a lot of relationships between the police and those structures.

Let me suggest this: You gave us suggestions about this, and you have a long list here, if some of these, given the conversation so far today, strike you they will be particularly useful and enlightening or helpful and take us forward --

MR. MELVILLE: Shouldn't we start with exactly what we are going to achieve in broad terms and then decide which specific papers we'll need to make up the whole --

MR. PEREZ: I may need to have

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1:800:255:5040

examples of some of the specifics.

MS. WARD: One of the problems may serve different purposes, some cases they may fill in or regional issues that are particularly interesting serve as an example of other areas. We don't have to pick a purpose necessarily, do we want to have some sort of coherence among the five.

MR. PEREZ: I can go over the ones I gave to you, I don't want to answer your question whether it is particularly helpful, that's one that the group has to answer. It does follow roughly within the framework.

MR. SZIKINGER: I think we can use the framework as a flexible one, and, of course, different authors will pick different cells of the scheme and telling a bit less of other cells, but this is also characteristic because if in a given region departmental control is more important, then you can go through and say something about that and you can go into details concerning the departmental control or the individual responsibility, accountability of middle management, by arguing that this is a

major problem we have to address now, so I think this frame is good, and we can give it as an outline, general outline of the papers, and so we have the main chapters of the papers, with the remark that this must not be a rigid one.

If you have less to say for state control, you can give more space to social control, but you have to argue why this specific topic is more important in your region and you have to tell something about the discussions in your country, and so on, or the region.

MR. STONE: I don't think anything needs to cover the whole. It should be a very flexible structure within which to write, that's right.

MR. PEREZ: If you want I'll go over mine, that's the only one of mine that I would go over.

MR. STONE: Go ahead.

MR. PEREZ: To use our paradigm that we've been operating from today, it would fall within the category of state control and the issue of misconduct.

It seems to me that one of the vital

aspects of a viable accountable system is a viable system for actually prosecuting misconduct. It is not a panacea, not going to prevent crime simply by having an effective system of prosecution, I don't believe that. I think that would be foolish to try to use simple prosecution as the sole tool. I think it is one tool.

I've spent a fair amount of time doing that here in the United States, and I think the two purposes having an effective model of prosecution serve is, number one, it shows a police officer that he or she will be held accountable, that is to say, there are consequences.

You have a system in place, and I have seen when we have had prosecutions that have been failures, one of the concerns I had in those contacts, it sends a bad message to law enforcement that they can act with impugnity and because of those structural defects that was sent to law enforcement.

The second problem that results from a structurally defective system of prosecution

.12

is that it undermines public confidence in your

ability to hold police accountable, particularly

a problem in the United States, for instance, in

minority communities.

If you don't have a proper structure in place, the public at large, and I think the minority community, in particular, it is going to exacerbate their suspicion of government.

One thing I thought might be useful to look at is the system that we have in place here, and I would be very curious to compare it with perhaps one or two other systems to see if we can then develop some basic principles that would guide us in drawing up a general structure for the effective prosecution of misconduct. That was one of the ideas.

MR. SEN: Should you confine it to just investigation or prosecution and investigation?

MR. PEREZ: It would be both, absolutely both.

MR. SEN: Accountability is absolutely important. There is a legitimate fear that face police is the fear of

.18

presenting direction, how power is in a democratic society, accountabilitiy is there, otherwise what will happen, police power, discretion will be arbitrary, so personally I feel that the papers -- enforcement of accountability, what they've been trying in India, what are the topics and what lessons that we can see of the procedures in other countries. There are important people in the community who are there. All of a sudden without any announcement, they can visit the police lockups and see the conditions and report back.

We have 1991 Commissioners office, without information, can go to the police lockup and see.

We have suggested particularly the list of complaint authority, complaints to the police within a specific time, and then we have seen how the complaint, they don't have any investigating staff of their own, one complaint given to the complaining authority to one chief constable. And among the common people a

feeling around the citizens, if you have your separate investigating staff under an authority, these are some of the things which you can keep in mind, there is a need of police accountability, means of enforcing, what has been tried in certain countries and what lessons we can draw. These kind of papers will give guidance to others, other democratic countries will learn from it. The police chief in India can learn something of experiments tried by police officers investigating cases in the USA, find out what things went wrong, what kind of problems they face.

MR. FRUHLING: I would suggest that we commission a comparative paper, and there are not that many people who write a paper in this field that compares the realities in these regions.

I came across a short paper or talk, a conference organized on police reform and the U.S. assistance to police reform, something of that sort. It was a conference organized three years ago by the Department of Justice and the idea in Washington, it was Philip Heyman and

.19

other people. I would suggest, I don't know him personally, I would suggest something of these two different sources. One would be something like comparative police reform in emerging democracies. Something like taking stock of what is going on in terms of police reform in the last five or six years maybe focusing on accountability, maybe focusing on different structures created lately and how they create possibilities for more democratic accountability and what are the obstacles for change. That would be one.

Another one would be something like comparative perspectives on mechanisms to reduce police violence.

Let me just say a comparative paper is needed, I'm not sure these are the documents or these are the people, but certainly we need to look at the issues in such a way.

With respect to my own region, the region I'm coming from, I proposed a number of papers by a man in Chile and other ones that dealt more precise precisely with accountability.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

.14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

El Salvador is a new police force also, but I see it is not in the list of important countries.

MR. STONE: I think I offered the suggestion of comparative papers and then maybe we can take a look at it. I would say we need maybe one pager per region, but maybe not all the regions but more than one.

MR. MELVILLE: I'm still trying to put things on a piecemeal basis. I would see it on a structured basis, obviously start with the general, perhaps something along the lines of this third one down there, sort of broad issue of enforcing accountability as part of that and defining the specific terms, sort of the issues we've been raising, what do we mean by accountability, and perhaps rather than trying to do a catchall international comparative, breaking it down and looking at say -- I'm looking at the second page of various different cities, different regions, perhaps we can first have a look, I suppose, at logically the major democracies, what systems they have there, and then perhaps isolate two or three major zones

there, the United States and Europe, and wherever else they may be, and look at the emerging democracies and highlight certain regions. Obviously, the scope of some other topics in between, what you should ultimately be working towards, see if you can't have an attempt to install some universal principles from all of that. If there are any universal positions --

MR. STONE: I think essentially what we're talking about for these papers is a bit in the middle.

We'll prepare based on all these conversations, and the other conversations we're organizing, a general framework paper trying to pull together the definition of accountability, it's meaning and structure.

I would hope once the papers are together, we would also try and draw some broad conclusions from it.

The real question is do we want to sandwich in between some of these, I think there's ways of connecting these last two points, that is, if we make sure we have a paper

·25

that focuses on one issue or another from each of the regions, then that should capture votes and things in some mature democracies.

Something like El Salvador, the experience in Chile, I think would be really interesting.

There are a number of ways in which we can capture both things. I do think the trickiest one for me to think about is the comparative.

I agree with you, we need to do some comparative work. If you could take what you originally proposed as really a U.S. paper and figure out a way to do it, if you can get even stuff that's focused on one place and put it in a comparative context, I think that would help enormously.

I don't know what you had in mind when you said you wanted to compare it with one or two other places, but I think that would be that would be helpful. If we were to do -- if we try to get one region as a first cut, you think that would actually, we might end up with the topics we need.

MS. WARD: I have a general

. 9

question, I think what Neville tried to suggest earlier, we think less about dividing into regionally but more level, what level of democratization or police reform there may be, that might be good for thinking about the paper topics.

The way I'm seeing it, we have the mature democracies and police reform that's going on in the U.S., Canada and Western Europe and certain models of police reform have been refined and going on for the last 15 years, and we have transitional democracies trying to do it all at once, trying to catch up with the professionalization that we went on in the United States, and then you have places that are starting totally anew like El Salvador and Haiti and they're building something from the ground up and that to me looks like three different divisions.

MR. FRUHLING: You're saying we could have a paper on each of these situations coming from any of the regions?

MS. WARD: As long as we have something coming from each of those areas, we

may cover our bases.

LASER STOCK FORM B

THE CORBY GROUP 1-800-255-5040

MR. SEN: Some of the emerging democracies, the new countries like Haiti or El Salvador, not much has been done. Police accountability, nothing has been done. You can suggest this should have been done. If an effort has been made, what are the lessons you can draw, what is the teaching being followed so that we can provide guidance to others, but where it has just been tried, Haiti and all, nothing is there, what do you write about these countries, if you think about it?

MR. SZIKINGER: That is a problem for me.

We used to live in a dictatorship, now we are going to live in a democracy. We have the same police, no specific institution of accountability has been introduced, but it is said we have general Ombudsman, democratically elected parliament, but as to police, even the powers of these institutions are rather restricted.

There was a provision in the Hungarian Ombudsman Act according to which the

Ombudsman had insight into police acts with the approval of the National Commission, this expressed against the Ombudsman and taking the point of view of police.

The question is, I think, a general question, whether some institutional solutions should be introduced in a new democracy in order to prove that this is a democracy and something different.

whether it is enough to say police are the same, but that might also be a good solution in a democratic context, but the chief spy of East Germany, he did the same as the West German counterpart, but he served an undemocratic society. There should be minimum standards set in order to state this police force is trying is driving towards democracy, Ombudsman, how it should have functioned in some other countries and is functioning and how it is functioning in Hungary and what steps should be taken to make it more effective, how the police has changed, in form, but in spirit it is the same. Some people can point out the accountability in name, not in spirit.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WATSON: There needs to be a discussion of what those accountability mechanisms look like in the various countries.

MR. STONE: I quess I'm note as worried about having a fully articulated system for these papers. I think when we've commissioned papers in this time frame before, if you get too precise about what you're going to get, inevitably come in unevenly. really helpful when one or more of them turns out to be very provocative, insightful and helpful in advancing something. If anybody wants to, we could do all the papers that have been specifically mentioned we can do and still have some more, but just to sort of bring this to a close, are there any other specific topics on here or specific authors that we haven't pointed to yet that you think struck you as important or helpful in trying to develop this theme?

I think what I'm hearing sounds like some comparative work will be helpful and it sounds like focusing on one or two particular kinds of strategies for investigation or other

accountability, that might be helpful. We can do all of that.

Are there other individual topics or approaches to this that we ought to be also looking at?

MR. MELVILLE: Someone raised in the conversation earlier the practical difficulty of the police not knowing precisely who they're supposed to account to and how, and I suppose it is the other side of the condition of all these people we talked about, so maybe the two could start on it together, the flip side of the coin.

MR. STONE: The view of the police constable, police officer.

MR. MELVILLE: How should the police department deal with all these different bodies that they have to account to.

MR. FRUHLING: You think we have the time and the possibility of commissioning one of these papers that is written by like two or three authors which comprise previous case studies?

MR. STONE: I think it is hard to

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

·16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commission a single paper, I'd almost rather 2 commission three short papers and our trying to

put them together during this time.

MR. FRUHLING: I think that would be a good idea, something along the lines of the second suggestion here, like accountability on policing societies divided by race, class, taking one thing from each other group.

MS. WARD: Today we talked how the interest of minorities are represented to the It is not specifically stated here. police.

MR. SEN: Why police behave like this, study of police misconduct because from that the question of accountability comes. cases police are misconduct, a study of police culture, so if we are to think of policing, we have to go into that, why police behave like this, what are the facts. Apart from the management, organizational culture, what kind of culture, police subculture, as we call it.

MS. WATSON: I was going to follow-up with a bit on Hugo's comment really in terms of the comparative study, maybe that's one of the issues to explore comparatively, and

Bailey certainly has done a lot of that on the culture and Wasserman has as well. It seems to me a study of culture as it relates to accountability, not a study, but a paper on it comparatively.

MR. MELVILLE: Part of the same thing how one can change the culture.

MS. WATSON: Okay.

MR. STONE: Let us try and take this conversation, figure out how it is, to do some of these things and fax around as quickly as we can to everybody what that might look like, get something more concrete in front of you, you move in that direction. We talked about some of you, we suggested some of you as authors here, others have suggested others. I just want to make sure that's okay.

translated or should be written in English?

MR. STONE: We can translate. Just a question of time and the editing process.

Could this be

MR. FRUHLING:

MR. FRUHLING: In my case, I could, if it were a short paper, yes, and I haven't contacted the other people.

MR. MELVILLE: On the understanding this one that appears in connection with the second page there, my understanding that would be a very short piece to tie in with the whole team, and I would make myself available for that.

MS. WARD: How about if I agree to let you know what I propose before we circulate it.

MR. STONE: Let us try and do that and see if we could pull together a list of people appropriately and willing to try to cover the issues that come up here.

MS. WARD: There may be offers from the countries in the region, there may be people who come to mind who we don't know.

MR. STONE: David Bailey is someone we've been in touch with and actually coming in about a month, we will talk to him, and it is interesting that his name comes up so frequently.

Let me suggest that we postpone the conversation about the role of the March meeting to tomorrow morning when we are going to have

.22

breakfast here with Mary from the Ford

Foundation, who is the one organizing that

session, and let me suggest instead that what we

do, we have about an hour and a quarter with

Mary tomorrow.

MS. WARD: Yes.

MR. STONE: I think to the extent you can take where you started with this morning and your thoughts on the conversation today, I think what Mary is trying to do how she can give some structure and guidance to people all over the world trying to fund both, people doing the kind of work you do, but also lots of other organizations, academics, action-oriented, police reform, other sorts of things.

If you can begin to translating some of the concerns you brought to the table and the conversation you brought to the table today, in many cases it is actually what you came with. I think when we focus on tomorrow morning, if you can let her know if you had sort of one theme or one issue or one strategy, if you felt you knew how a private foundation like this can move in, if she can hear that from each of us she will

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a more concrete talk with us how she would like to structure the meeting we have in March to take advantage of what we have to say and also be useful to people she's bringing in.

Does that make sense, does that work?

And I think a number of you have made clear during the course of the conversation today what you think is important to get done, and I think tomorrow morning would be a good time to try to distill that, if you can, into a few sentences, I think that would be really I think it is going to be what you feel strongly and where you think there's both importance and opportunity that's going to make the meeting in March work best. By then we'll have a lot of material and a lot of stuff for everybody to read. I think it is going to be your own sense of where there's real possibility to move forward and real progress to be made that's going to end up carrying the day as to what Ford does.

MS. WARD: Maybe we can talk briefly about what will be happening on the first day in

March, first day breaking up into workshops in the afternoon, the structure of the meeting. When you go away from here today you can think about that, how you might want to participate in March.

MR. STONE: My hope is it wouldn't break down, no reason to pull people from all over the world together and it breaks down by region. You can get more people involved, and all that seems more useful, so one thing to do in light of what we've done today, get the whole group together and have a general discussion of the framework that's evolved and the material pulled together and we could break down into smaller discussions at these different levels, focusing on the department, focusing on state and local control and have each of those conversations cut across regions, but to do that in smaller groups. That's just a thought coming out of the conversation we've had today.

Does that make sense for the conference in March?

MS. WARD: Ford will have time after we leave to pull this all together themselves.

MR. STONE: Then what we'll do is try and get the group together on the first day, get to know each other, get to know what the issues are. It will end up repeating a lot of what we've done here today with the much bigger group and people who don't focus on this issue all the time, and then maybe if we break down the smaller discussions on these different items and give people a chance to come back together. Does that make sense, does that work for you?

You might also think about if there are six of us roughly, the way this works out, I think the thing we've learned is I think if we actually group together, if we do pairs, maybe we can even vary it a couple of times in the course of it.

What we are going to do after these meetings here, we are going to be pulling this together and write up this framework of papers that will be -- that will go in drafts and we will circulate that. We hope the work of this working group is going to be pushing and requesting and revising. I think without this conversation today it would have been a much

slower, less successful concept.

All right. It has been a long day, a lot of the conversation. I found it very stimulating and helpful. It is a beautiful day out there.

(Time noted: 4:45 p.m.)

I, RICHARD M. JENNINGS, hereby certify the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes.

Richard M. Sinningson)

RICHARD M. JENNINGS